LAST LECTURE

Scheduling Algorithms:

Slide 1 → FIFO

- → Shortest job next
- → Shortest remaining job next
- → Highest Response Rate Next (HRRN)

Performance of round-robin scheduling:

- → Average waiting time: not optimal
- → Performance depends heavily on size of time-quantum:
 - too short: overhead for context switch becomes too

Slide 3 expensive

- too large: degenerates to FCFS policy
- rule of thumb: about 80% of all bursts should be shorter than 1 time quantum
- \rightarrow no starvation

PRIORITIES

- → Each thread is associated with a priority
- → Basic mechanism to influence scheduler decision:
 - Scheduler will always choose a thread of higher priority over one of lower priority
 - Implemented via multiple FCFS ready queues (one per

Slide 4 priority)

- → Lower-priority may suffer starvation
 - adapt priority based on thread's age or execution history
- → Priorities can be defined internally or externally
 - internal: e.g., memory requirements, I/O bound vs CPU bound
 - external: e.g., importance of thread, importance of user









Priorities influence access to resources, but do not guarantee a certain fraction of the resource (CPU etc)!

Feedback scheduling:





- → process gets "lottery tickets" for various resources
- → more lottery tickets imply better access to resource

Slide 8 Advantages:

- → Simple
 - → Highly responsive
- → Allows cooperating processes/threads to implement individual scheduling policy (exchange of tickets)

Example (taken from Embedded Systems Programming:

Four processes a running concurrently

- → Process A: 15% of CPU time
- → Process B: 25% of CPU time
- → Process C: 5% of CPU time
- → Process D: 55% of CPU time

Slide 9 How many tickets should each process get to achieve this?

Number of tickets in proportion to CPU time, e.g., if we have 20 tickets overall

- → Process A: 15% of tickets: 3
- → Process B: 25% of tickets: 5
- ➔ Process C: 5% of tickets: 1
- → Process D: 55% of tickets: 11

TRADITIONAL UNIX SCHEDULING (SVR3, 4.3 BSD)

Objectives:

- \rightarrow support for time sharing
- → good response time for interactive users
- → support for low-priority background jobs

Slide 10 Strategy:

- → Multilevel feedback using round robin within priorities
- → Priorities are recomputed once per second
 - Base priority divides all processes into fixed bands of priority levels
 - Priority adjustment capped to keep processes within bands
- → Favours I/O-bound over CPU-bound processes

Note: UNIX traditionally uses counter-intuitive priority representation (higher value = less priority)

Bands:

- → Decreasing order of priority
- Slide 11 Swapper
 - Block I/O device control
 - File manipulation
 - Character I/O device control
 - User processes

Advantages:

- → relatively simple, effective
- → works well for single processor systems

Slide 12 Disadvantages:

- → significant overhead in large systems (recomputing priorities)
- \rightarrow response time not guaranteed
- → non-preemptive kernel: lower priority process in kernel mode can delay high-priority process

NON-PREEMPTIVE VS PREEMPTIVE KERNEL

- → kernel data structures have to be protected
- → basically, two ways to solve the problem:
 - Non-preemptive: disable all (most) interrupts while in kernel mode, so no other thread can get into kernel mode while in critial section
- Slide 13
- Priority inversion possible
- Coarse grained
- Works only for uniprocessor
- Preemptive: just lock kernel data structure which is currently modified
- More fine-grained
- Introduces additional overhead, can reduce throughput



(a) (b) Loosely coupled multiprocessor

- Each processor has its own memory and I/O channels
- Generally called a distributed memory multiprocessor

(c) Distributed System

- complete computer systems connected via wide area
 network
- communicate via message passing

MULTIPROCESSOR SCHEDULING

What kind of systems and applications are there? Classification of Multiprocessor Systems:



(a) Tightly coupled multiprocessing

 Processors share main memory, controlled by single operating system, called symmetric multi-processor (SMP) system

PARALLELISM

Independent parallelism:

- → Separate applications/jobs
- \rightarrow No synchronization
- → Parallelism improves throughput, responsiveness
- Slide 16 → Parallelism doesn't affect execution time of (single threaded) programs

Coarse and very coarse-grained parallelism:

- → Synchronization among processes is infrequent
- → Good for loosely coupled multiprocessors
 - Can be ported to multiprocessor with little change

Medium-grained parallelism:

- → Parallel processing within a single application
 - Application runs as multithreaded process
- → Threads usually interact frequently
- → Good for SMP systems
- → Unsuitable for loosely-coupled systems

Slide 17 Fine-grained parallelism:

Slide 18

- → Highly parallel applications
 - e.g., parallel execution of loop iterations
- → Very frequent synchronisation
- → Works only well on special hardware
 - vector computers, symmetric multithreading (SMT) hardware

ASSIGNMENT OF THREADS TO PROCESSORS

- → Treat processors as a pooled resource and assign threads to processors on demand
 - Permanently assign threads to a processor
 - Dedicate short-term queue for each processor
 - Low overhead

Slide 19

- X Processor could be idle while another processor has a backlog
- Dynamically assign process to a processor
- X higher overhead
- X poor locality
- ✓ better load balancing

MULTIPROCESSOR SCHEDULING

Multiprocessor Scheduling:

Which process should be run next and where?

We discuss:

- → Tightly coupled multiprocessing
- → Very coarse to medium grained parallelism
- → Homogeneous systems (all processors have same specs, access to devices)

Design Issues:

- → How to assign processes/threads to the available processors?
- → Multiprogramming on individual processors?
- → Which scheduling strategy ?
- → Scheduling dependend processes

ASSIGNMENT OF THREADS TO PROCESSORS

Who decides which thread runs on which processor?

Master/slave architecture:

- → Key kernel functions always run on a particular processor
- Slide 20 → Master is responsible for scheduling
 - → Slave sends service request to the master
 - 🖌 simple
 - ✓ one processor has control of all resources, no synchronisation
 - **X** Failure of master brings down whole system
 - X Master can become a performance bottleneck

Peer architecture:

- → Operating system can execute on any processor
- → Each processor does self-scheduling
 → Complicates the operating system

Slide 21

- Make sure no two processors schedule the same thread
- Synchronise access to resources
- → Proper symmetric multiprocessing

LOAD SHARING: TIME SHARING



- → Load is distributed evenly across the processors
- → Use global ready queue

Slide 22

Slide 23

- Threads are not assigned to a particular processor
- Scheduler picks any ready thread (according to scheduling policy)
- Actual scheduling policy less important than on uniprocessor
- → No centralized scheduler required

Disadvantages of time sharing:

- → Central queue needs mutual exclusion
 - Potential race condition when several CPUs are trying to pick a thread from ready queue
 - May be a bottleneck blocking processors
- → Preempted threads are unlikely to resume execution on the same processor
 - Cache use is less efficient, bad locality
 - → Different threads of same process unlikely to execute in parallel
 - Potentially high intra-process communication latency







01 00



- → statically assigned to CPUs at creation time (figure) or
- → dynamic assignment using a central server

GANG SCHEDULING

Combined time and space sharing:

- → Simultaneous scheduling of threads that make up a single process
- → Useful for applications where performance severely degrades when any part of the application is not running
 - e.g., often need to synchronise with each other

Slide 26

		GFU					
	_	0	1	2	3	4	5
Time slot	0	A ₀	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅
	1	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂
	2	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	E ₀
	3	E ₁	E ₂	E3	E ₄	E ₅	E ₆
	4	A ₀	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄	A ₅
	5	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂
	6	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	E ₀
	7	E ₁	E ₂	E3	E ₄	E ₅	E ₆

SMP SUPPORT IN MODERN GENERAL PURPOSE OS'S^a

- → Solaris 8.0: up to 128
- → Linux 2.4: up to 32
- → Windows 2000 Data Center: up to 32
- → OS/2 Warp: up to 64

SMP Scheduling in Linux 2.4:

- → tries to schedule process on same CPU
- → if the CPU busy, assigns it to an idle CPU
- → otherwise, checks if process priority allows interrupt on preferred CPU
- → uses spin locks to protect kernel data structures

^C(SOUICe http://www.2cpu.com)

WINDOWS 2000 SCHEDULING

- → priority driven, preemptive scheduling system
- → if thread with higher priority becomes ready to run, current thread is preempted
- → scheduled at thread granularity

(realtime levels — soft)

- → priorities: 0 (zero-page thread), 1-15 (variable levels), 16-31
- Slide 28
- → each thread has a quantum value, clock-interrupt handler deducts 3 from running thread quantum
- → default value of quantum: 6 Windows 2000 Professional, 36 on Windows 2000 Server
- → most wait-operations result in temporary priority boost, favouring IO-bound threads

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS

What is a real-time system?

A real-time system is a system whose correctness includes its response time as well as its functional correctness.

Slide 30 What is a hard real-time system?

- A real-time system with guaranteed worst case response times.
- → Hard real-time systems fail if deadlines cannot be met
- → Service of soft real-time systems degrades if deadlines cannot be met

REALTIME SYSTEMS

Overview:

- Slide 29 → Real time systems
 - Hard and soft real time systems
 - Real time scheduling
 - A closer look at some real time operating systems

Real-time systems:

- → no clear separation
- → system may meet hard deadline of one application, but not of

Slide 31 other

- → depending on application, time-scale may vary from microseconds to seconds
- → most systems have some real-time requirements

Soft Real-time Applications:

- → Many multi-media apps
- → e.g., DVD or MP3 player
- → Many real-time games, networked games

Hard Real-time Applications:

Slide 32

- → Control of laboratory experiments
- → Embedded devices
- → Process control plants
- \rightarrow Robotics
- → Air traffic control
- \rightarrow Telecommunications
- → Military command and control systems

Hard real-time systems:

- $\label{eq:states}$ often lack full functionality of modern OS
- → secondary memory usually limited or missing
- → data stored in short term or read-only memory

Slide 33 \rightarrow no time sharing

Modern operating systems provide support for soft real-time applications

Hard real-time OS either specially tailored OS, modular systems, or customized version of general purpose OS.

CHARACTERISTICS OF REAL-TIME OPERATING SYSTEMS

Deterministic: How long does it take to acknowledge interrupt?

- → Operations are performed at fixed, predetermined times or within predetermined time intervals
- → Depends on
- response time of system for interrupts
- capacity of system

Slide 34

- → Cannot be fully deterministic when processes are competing for resources
- → Requires preemptive kernel

Responsive: How long does it take to service the interrupt?

- → Includes amount of time to begin execution of the interrupt
- → Includes the amount of time to perform the interrupt

CHARACTERISTICS OF REAL-TIME OPERATING SYSTEMS

User control: User has much more control compared to ordinary OS's

- → User specifies priority
- → Specify paging
- → Which processes must always reside in main memory
- Slide 35 → Disks algorithms to use
 - → Rights of processes

Reliability: Failure, loss, degradation of performance may have catastrophic consequences

- → Attempt either to correct the problem or minimize its effects while continuing to run
- → Most critical, high priority tasks execute

CHARACTERISTICS OF REAL-TIME OPERATING SYSTEMS

General purpose OS objectives like

- → speed
- Slide 36 → fairness
 - → maximising throughput
 - → minimising average response time

are not priorities in real time OS's!

Features of real-time operating systems:

- → Fast context switch
- → Small size

Slide 37

- → Ability to respond to external interrupts quickly
- → Predictability of system performance!
- → Use of special sequential files that can accumulate data at a fast rate
- → Preemptive scheduling based on priority
- → Minimization of intervals during which interrupts are disabled
- → Delay tasks for fixed amount of time



Preemptive round-robin:



REAL-TIME SCHEDULING

Non-preemptive priority:



REAL-TIME SCHEDULING

Preemption points:



REAL-TIME SCHEDULING

Immediate preemptive:



REAL-TIME SCHEDULING

Classes of Algorithms:

→ Static table-driven

- suitable for periodic tasks
- input: periodic arrival, ending and execution time
- output: schedule that allows all processes to meet requirements (if at all possible)
- determines at which points in time a task begins execution

→ Static priority-driven preemptive

- static analysis determines priorities
- traditional priority-driven scheduler is used

→ Dynamic planning-based

- feasibility to integrate new task is determined dynamically

→ Dynamic best effort

- no feasibility analysis
- typically aperiodic, no static analysis possible
- does its best, procs that missed deadline aborted

When are periodic events schedulable?

- → P_i : period with which event *i* occurs
- → C_i : CPU time required to handle event i

A set of events e_1 to e_m is schedulable if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{C_i}{P_i} \le 1$$

Slide 43

Slide 42

Example:

- \rightarrow three periodic events with periods of 100, 200, and 500msecs
- → require 50, 30 , and 100msec of CPU time
- → schedulable?

$$\frac{50}{100} + \frac{30}{200} + \frac{100}{500} = 0.5 + 0.15 + 0.2 \le 1$$

DEADLINE SCHEDULING

Current systems often try to provide real-time support by

- → starting real time tasks are quickly as possible
- → speeding up interrupt handling and task dispatching

Not necessarily appropriate, since

- → real-time applications are not concerned with speed but with reliably completing tasks
- → priorities alone are not sufficient

DEADLINE SCHEDULING

Earliest deadline first strategy is provably optimal. It

- → minimises number of tasks that miss deadline
- → if there is a schedule for a set of tasks, earliest deadline first will find it

Slide 46 Earliest deadline first

- → can be used for dynamic or static scheduling
- → works with starting or completion deadline
- → for any given preemption strategy
 - starting deadlines are given: nonpreemptive
 - completion deadline: preemptive

DEADLINE SCHEDULING

Additional information used:

- → Ready time
 - sequence of times for periodic tasks, may or may not be known statically

Slide 45

Slide 44

- → Completion deadline
- → Processing time

→ Starting deadline

- may or may not be known, approximated
- → Resource requirements
- → Priority
- → Subtask scheduler

Two tasks:

→ Sensor A:

→ Sensor B:

- data arrives every 20msprocessing takes 10ms
- data arrives every 50ms
 processing takes 25ms

Scheduling decision every 10ms

Slide 47

A(1)	0	10	20
A(2)	20	10	40
A(3)	40	10	60
÷			÷
B(1)	0	25	50
B(2)	50	25	100
:			:

Task Arrival Time Execution Time Deadline



Aperiodic threads with starting deadline:



RATE MONOTONIC SCHEDULING

Works for processes which

- → are periodic
- → need the same amount of CPU time on each burst
- → optimal static scheduling algorithm
- \rightarrow guaranteed to succeed if

Slide 50

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{C_i}{P_i} \le m * (2^{\frac{1}{m}} - 1)$$

for *m* = 1,10,100,1000: 1, 0.7, 0.695, 0.693

Works by

- \rightarrow assigning priorities to threads on the basis of their periods
- → highest-priority task is the one with the shortest period







Time (msec) ->

WHY USE RMS?

Despite some obvious disadvantages of RMS over EDF, RMS is sometimes used

- Slide 54 → it has a lower overhead
 - → simple
 - → in pratice, performance similar
 - → greater stability, predictability

LINUX 2.4 SCHEDULING — SOFT REAL-TIME SUPPORT

- → User assigns static priority to real time processes (1-99), never changed by scheduler
- → Conventional processes have dynamic priority, always lower than real time processes
 - sum of base priority and
 - number of clock ticks left of quantum for current epoch
- Slide 55 → Scheduling classes
 - SCHED_FIFO: First-in-first-out real-time threads
 - SCHED_RR: Round-robin real-time threads
 - SCHED_OTHER: Other, non-real-time threads
 - → Within each class multiple priorities may be used
 - → Deadlines cannot be specified, no guarantees given
 - → Due to non-preemptive kernel, latency can be too high for real-time systems

28



UNIX SVR4 SCHEDULING

- → Highest preference to real-time processes
- Slide 57
- → Next-highest to kernel-mode processes
 → Lowest preference to other user-mode processes
- → Real time processes may block system services



- → Priorities organized into two bands or classes
- Real-time

Slide 59

- Variable
- → Priority-driven preemptive scheduler
- → also, no deadlines, no guarantees



Problem:

- → in real life applications, many tasks are not always periodic.
- → static priorities may not work

If real time threads run periodically with same length, fixed priority is no problem:



- a: periodic real time thread, highest priority
- b: periodic real time thread
- various different low priority tasks (e.g., user I/O)

But if frequency of high priority task increases temporarily, system may encounter overload:



- system may not be able to perform requested service

Example:

Network interface control driver, requirements:

- → avoid if possible to drop packets
- → definitely avoid overload

If receiver thread get highest priority permanently, system may go into overload if incoming rate exceeds a certain value.

Slide 64

- ightarrow expected frequency: packet once every 64 μs
- \clubsuit CPU time required to process packet: $25 \mu s$
- → 32-entry ring buffer, max 50% full



SPORADIC SCHEDULING

POSIX standard to handle

- → aperiodic or sporadic events
- → with static priority, preemptive scheduler

Slide 65

Implemented in hard real-time systems such as QNX, some real-time versions of Linux, real-time specification for Java (RTSJ)(partially)

Can be used to avoid overloading in a system

Basic Idea: "simulation" of periodic behaviour of thread by assigning

- \rightarrow realtime priority: P_r
- \rightarrow background priority: P_b
- \rightarrow execution budget: E
- \rightarrow replenishment interval: R

Slide 66 to thread.

- $\ensuremath{\rightarrow}$ Whenever thread exhausts execution budget, priority is set to background priority P_b
- \rightarrow When thread blocks after *n* units, *n* will be added to execution budget *R* units after execution started
- \clubsuit When execution budget is incremented, thread priority is reset to P_r

Example:

- → execution budget: 5
- \rightarrow replenishment interval: 13

Thread does not block:





[→] execution time: $25\mu s * 16 = 400\mu s$

→ CPU load caused by receiver thread: 400/1024 = 0.39, about 39%

HARD REAL TIME OS

We look at examples of two types of systems:

- → configurable hard real time systems
- Slide 70

Slide 71

- system designed as real time OS from the start
- ightarrow hard real-time variants of general purpose OSs

try to alleviate shortcomings of OS with respect to real time apps

REAL-TIME SUPPORT IN LINUX

- → Scheduling:
 - POSIX SCHED_FIF0, SCHED_RR,
 - ongoing efforts to improve scheduler efficiency

→ Virtual Memory:

- no VM for real-time apps
- mlock() and mlockall() to switch off paging
- → Timer: resolution: 10ms, too coarse grained for real-time apps

HIGH KERNEL LATENCY IN LINUX

Possible solutions:

- → Low Latency Linux
 - thread in kernel mode yields CPU
 - reduces size of non-preemptable sections
 - used in some real-time variants of Linux

→ Preemptable Linux

- kernel data protected using mutexes/spinlocks
- → Lock breaking preemptable Linux
 - combination of previous two approaches

QNX

- → Microkernel based architecture
- → POSIX standard API
- ➔ Modular can be costumised for very small size (eg, embedded systems) or large systems
- → Memory protection for user applications and os components

Slide 74 Scheduling:

- → FIFO scheduling
- → Round-robin
- → Adaptive scheduling
 - thread consumes its timeslice, its priority is reduced by one
 thread blocks, it immediately comes back to its base priority
- → POSIX sporadic scheduling

RTLINUX

- → abstract machine layer between actual hardware and Linux kernel
- → takes control of

Slide 73

Slide 72

- hardware interruptstimer hardware
- interrupt disable mechanism
- → real time scheduler runs with no interference fron Linux kernel
- → programmer must utilise RTLinux API for real time applications

WINDOWS CE 3.0

Componentised OS designed for embedded systems with hard real-time support

- → handles nested interrupts
 - → handles priority inversion based on priority inheritance

Offers

Slide 75

- → guaranteed upper bound on high priority thread scheduling
- ightarrow guaranteed upper bound on delay for interrupt service routines



