

## Remarks on Probability

In order to better understand theorems on “average” performance analyses, it is helpful to know a little about probability and random variables.

This will also help in understanding how to design simulation experiments.

The material is purposely simplified; no lies, but not the complete truth either. We will restrict it to *discrete* probability and random variables.

## Events

A probability space (for our limited purpose) is a set of events. To each event  $E$  we assign a probability  $P(E)$  ranging between 0 and 1. We can combine events in standard set-theoretic ways, viz.,  $E_1 \cup E_2$ ,  $E_1 \cap E_2$ ,  $\bar{E}$  (indeed we can even admit countable unions, etc.). So, events form an algebra of sets. Probability functions must obey some simple properties that are quite intuitive, e.g.,  
 $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$ ,  $P(\bar{A}) = 1 - P(A)$ .

$E_1 \cup E_2$  is event of either  $E_1$  or  $E_2$  (occurring),  $E_1 \cap E_2$  is that of both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , and  $\bar{E}$  is the event that is possibility other than  $E$ .

## What is a probability?

This is a difficult question, fraught with philosophical controversy. We skirt around it by simply taking a view that is helpful in simulation contexts, without claiming that it is the *truth*.

This can be called the *Frequentist* view. To make the interpretation concrete, consider the event space of tossing a die  $A$ . This has six outcomes, which are the events; we name them 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

What do we mean when we say, e.g.,  $P(5) = 1/6$ ?

It is that the “chance” of getting a 5 in a toss is  $1/6$ . But what does that “really” mean?

## A Gedanken Experiment Answer

The frequentist answer is an *operational* one.

Intuitively, the frequentist view is to imagine *repeating* the tossing experiment many times and define:

$S_0 = 0$ ; if at the  $i$ -th toss we get a 5, set  $S_i = S_{i-1} + 1$ , otherwise  $S_i = S_{i-1}$ .

This  $S_n$  is a count of how many 5's have occurred up to the  $n$ -th toss.

Then consider the ratio:

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \quad (1)$$

### The limit interpretation

As the number  $n$  of tosses increases, intuitively we expect the ratio  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  to gradually converge to some number.

The frequentist interpretation is that this limit is the intended probability of the event “5 shows up in a toss”; i.e.

$$P(5) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} \quad (2)$$

A *fair dice* would be one for which all the events 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 have this same limit, i.e.,  $P(i) = 1/6$  for each of them.

### Probabilities are OK

The frequentist interpretation is consistent with the usual properties desired of a probability measure. Let us just check out one.

$P(\bar{A}) = 1 - P(A)$ : For this, call each occurrence of the event  $A$  a “success” in a long experiment of repeated trials. Define  $S_i$  as before but use it to count the number of successes. Then  $T_i$  is used to count the number of failures (i.e.,  $\bar{A}$  occurring).

### Probabilities are OK, cont'd

Since  $S_i + T_i = i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{A}) &= \lim_n \frac{T_n}{n} \\ &= \lim_n \frac{n - S_n}{n} \\ &= 1 - \lim_n \frac{S_n}{n} \\ &= 1 - P(A) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

### Independence

Two events  $A$  and  $B$  are *independent* if the occurrence of one has no influence (positively or negatively) on the other. It can be shown from the frequentist approach that this means

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A) * P(B).$$

(Comp 2711 people, reflect on this.)

So, if I toss a fair coin and a fair dice together, since the outcomes are independent  $P(\text{coin} = H \text{ and } \text{dice} = 6) = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{12}$

If I toss the coin three times, each toss is independent of the others. So the probability of the outcome HHT is  $P(H) * P(H) * P(T) = 1/8$ .

## Random Variables

A *random variable* is a function that associates a number to events. What use is this?

*Example: A Payoff Problem*

Suppose I play this game: A biased coin is tossed repeatedly. The probability of  $T$  is  $1/3$ , so that of  $H$  is  $2/3$ . I win  $\$n$  if (from the start) the following sequence of events is observed:  $T, T, T, \dots, H$  where the only  $H$  is on the  $n$ th toss, all preceding tosses yielding  $T$ .

The game stops when I win, so there are no sequences of the form  $TTHTTH\dots$ , as the game would have stopped at  $TTH$ .

What is my *expected winnings*?

## Random Variables cont'd

Now, each winning event for me is associated with a payoff (my winnings) of some dollar amount, which is a number. This association will be the random variable. More formally:

Each winning event for me is some  $n$ -length sequence  $TTT\dots TTH$  which I denote by  $E(n)$ . To say my winnings for this event is  $\$n$ , we define the random variable  $W : Events \rightarrow Numbers$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} W(E) &= k \text{ if } E = E(k) \text{ for some } k \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

## Repeated Runs

The frequentist interpretation of the probability  $P(E(n))$  of event  $E(n)$  is that it is the proportion of times that  $E(n)$  will occur if this game is played over and over again. So, if we play this game  $N$  times, I will win roughly this dollar amount:

$$S = 1 * P(E(1)) * N + 2 * P(E(2)) * N + \dots + n * P(E(n)) * N + \dots \quad (5)$$

So, the *average* amount I will win over the  $N$  games is

$$\begin{aligned} SA &= \frac{1}{N} (1 * P(E(1)) * N + \dots + n * P(E(n)) * N + \dots) \\ &= 1 * P(E(1)) + 2 * P(E(2)) + \dots + n * P(E(n)) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This is the “long run average payoff for me”.

## Expectation = Long Run Average

The last equation can be re-written using the random variable  $W$  as:

$$SA = W(1) * P(E(1)) + W(2)P(E(2)) + \dots \quad (7)$$

Generalizing this idea, suppose  $E_n$  is a set of events on which we can define a random variable  $X$ . Thus  $X(E_n)$  is a number — the “payoff” for event  $E_n$ . The *Expectation* or *expected value* of  $X$  is the sum

$$E(X) = \sum_i X(E_i) * P(E_i) \quad (8)$$

Often we get lazy and write this simply as  $E(X) = \sum_i X(i) * P(i)$ .

## Back to the game

So, getting back to our motivating example, what are my expected winnings? Recall that we defined a random variable  $W(n)$  associated with the events  $E(n)$  of outcomes which are  $n$ -length sequence  $TTT \dots TTH$ .

$$\begin{aligned} W(E) &= k \text{ if } E = E(k) \text{ for some } k \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

To use equation 8 to calculate the expectation of  $W$  (which is the expected value of my winnings), all we need to do is find  $P(E(n))$ .

## First Visit Problems

$P(E(n))$  is  $(\frac{1}{3})^{n-1} \frac{2}{3}$  as  $P(T) = 1/3$  and  $P(H) = 2/3$ . Hence

$$E(W) = \sum_{i=0}^{i=\infty} (i+1) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^i \frac{2}{3} \quad (10)$$

We had actually seen this before when we considered the expected time to success in linear probing!

This game is an abstract model of *First Visit Problems*. In each Bernoulli trial we have a probability of failure  $q$ , and of success  $p$ .

Then the expected number of trials to the first success is  $\sum_{i=0}^{i=\infty} (i+1)q^i p$ , which we had shown how to evaluate in the lecture that analysed the naive model of linear probing.

## Gambling

Modern probability theory originated with *Pascal* who worked out gambling odds for his friends. It was later axiomatized by *Kolmogorov*. Pascal was a religious mathematician, a rare breed today. He used expectation of a random variable as an argument that one should be a theist.

### Pascal's Wager:

By random variable expectation, you should believe that God exists.

## Pascal's Wager "Proof"

Let  $G$  be the event that you did not believe in God, but he exists  
 $H$  be the event that you did not believe in God and he does not exist  
 $I$  be the event that you did believe in God, and he exists  
 $J$  be the event that you did believe in God, but he does not exist

Event  $G$  leads to a *big penalty* when you find out too late when you die; say this payoff is  $-2^{1,000,000,000,000,000,000}$ .

Event  $I$  leads to a *big reward* with you landing in Heaven surrounded by cherubs and angels, basking in eternal happiness; say this payoff is  $2^{1,000,000,000,000,000,000}$ .

### Pascal's Wager "Proof" cont'd

Events H and J are neutral, you neither gain nor lose anything.

What is your expected payoff with different decisions leading to different probabilities for the events?

The expected payoff is  $2^{1,000,000,000,000,000,000} * P(I) - 2^{1,000,000,000,000,000,000} * P(G) + 0 * P(H) + 0 * P(J)$

But  $I$  is actually two independent atomic events:  $Bel$  — you believe in God, AND  $GE$  — God exists, i.e.  $Bel \wedge GE$ ; and  $G$  is correspondingly  $\neg Bel \wedge GE$ . So  $P(I) = P(Bel) * P(GE)$  and  $P(G) = P(\neg Bel) * P(GE)$ .

### Pascal's Wager "Proof" cont'd

By symmetry (or agnosticism)  $P(GE) = P(\neg GE) = 0.5$ . Suppose you believe, i.e.  $P(Bel) = 1$  (so  $P(\neg Bel) = 0$ ) and so  $P(I) = 0.5$  ( $P(G) = 0$ ). Your payoff is  $\frac{1}{2} * 2^{1,000,000,000,000,000,000}$ .

On the contrary, if you did not believe, your payoff is  $-\frac{1}{2} * 2^{1,000,000,000,000,000,000}$ .

This choice is under your control!

*QED.*

### Other Examples on Expectation of a RV

*Pokies*

You play the pokies. On each trial your probability of winning is  $p$  and losing is  $q = 1 - p$ . If you win, the payout is  $\$P$ , but it costs you  $\$Q$  per trial. What is your expected payoff  $W$ ?

$$W = P * p - Q * q = (P + Q) * p - Q$$

The club will so adjust  $P, Q, p$  so that your payoff is slightly *negative!*

*St Petersburg Paradox*

Expectations may not be finite.

A fair coin is used in Bernoulli trials. On each trial I pay \$5 to play. I win on the  $n$ -th trial if H is the result on this trial, and it is the first time that it has occurred. On such an event, call it  $E_n$ , I collect  $\$2^n$ . My net gain then would be  $G(n) = \$2^n - 5n$ . What is my expected winnings?

Define a RV  $X$  such that  $X(E_n) = 2^n - 5n$ . The probability of  $E_n$  is  $(\frac{1}{2})^n$ . Hence, the expectation of  $X$  is  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G(n)P(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{2})^n (2^n - 5n)$ , which is *unbounded*.