

UNIX File Management (continued)















Older Systems only had a single file system

- They had file system specific open, close, read, write, ... calls.
- However, modern systems need to support many file system types
 - ISO9660 (CDROM), MSDOS (floppy), ext2fs, tmpfs




Supporting Multiple File Systems

- Alternatives
 - Change the file system code to understand different file system types
 - Prone to code bloat, complex, non-solution
 - Provide a framework that separates file system independent and file system dependent code.
 - Allows different file systems to be "plugged in"




Virtual File System (VFS)





Virtual file system (VFS)



open("/home/leonidr/file", ...);

Traversing the directory hierarchy may require VFS to issue requests to several underlying file systems




Virtual File System (VFS)

- Provides single system call interface for many file systems
 - E.g., UFS, Ext2, XFS, DOS, ISO9660,...
- Transparent handling of network file systems
 E.g., NFS, AFS, CODA
- File-based interface to arbitrary device drivers (/dev)
- File-based interface to kernel data structures (/proc)
- Provides an indirection layer for system calls
 - File operation table set up at file open time
 - Points to actual handling code for particular type
 - Further file operations redirected to those functions



The file system independent code deals with vfs and vnodes




VFS Interface

- Reference
 - S.R. Kleiman., "Vnodes: An Architecture for Multiple File System Types in Sun Unix," USENIX Association: Summer Conference Proceedings, Atlanta, 1986
 - Linux and OS/161 differ slightly, but the principles are the same
- Two major data types
 - vfs
 - Represents all file system types
 - Contains pointers to functions to manipulate each file system as a whole (e.g. mount, unmount)
 - Form a standard interface to the file system
 - vnode
 - Represents a file (inode) in the underlying filesystem
 - Points to the real inode
 - Contains pointers to functions to manipulate files/inodes (e.g. open, close, read, write,...)




Vfs and Vnode Structures






Vfs and Vnode Structures






A look at OS/161's VFS







Vnode Ops

```
int (*vop_open) (struct vnode *object, int flags_from_open);
int (*vop_close) (struct vnode *object);
int (*vop reclaim) (struct vnode *vnode);
```

int (*vop_read)(struct vnode *file, struct uio *uio); int (*vop_readlink)(struct vnode *link, struct uio *uio); int (*vop_getdirentry)(struct vnode *dir, struct uio *uio); int (*vop_write)(struct vnode *file, struct uio *uio); int (*vop_ioctl)(struct vnode *object, int op, userptr_t data); int (*vop_stat)(struct vnode *object, struct stat *statbuf); int (*vop_gettype)(struct vnode *object, int *result); int (*vop_tryseek)(struct vnode *object, off_t pos); int (*vop_fsync)(struct vnode *object); int (*vop_mmap)(struct vnode *file /* add stuff */); int (*vop_truncate)(struct vnode *file, off_t len); int (*vop_namefile)(struct vnode *file, struct uio *uio);



Vnode Ops

```
int (*vop creat) (struct vnode *dir,
 const char *name, int excl,
 struct vnode **result);
int (*vop symlink) (struct vnode *dir,
   const char *contents, const char *name);
int (*vop mkdir) (struct vnode *parentdir,
 const char *name);
int (*vop link) (struct vnode *dir,
const char *name, struct vnode *file);
int (*vop remove) (struct vnode *dir,
  const char *name);
int (*vop rmdir) (struct vnode *dir,
 const char *name);
int (*vop rename) (struct vnode *vn1, const char *name1,
  struct vnode *vn2, const char *name2);
int (*vop lookup)(struct vnode *dir,
  char *pathname, struct vnode **result);
int (*vop lookparent) (struct vnode *dir,
      char *pathname, struct vnode **result,
      char *buf, size t len);
```





Vnode Ops

- Note that most operations are on vnodes. How do we operate on file names?
 - Higher level API on names that uses the internal VOP_* functions

```
int vfs_open(char *path, int openflags, struct vnode **ret);
void vfs_close(struct vnode *vn);
int vfs_readlink(char *path, struct uio *data);
int vfs_symlink(const char *contents, char *path);
int vfs_mkdir(char *path);
int vfs_link(char *oldpath, char *newpath);
int vfs_remove(char *path);
int vfs_rmdir(char *path);
int vfs_rename(char *oldpath, char *newpath);
```

```
int vfs_chdir(char *path);
int vfs_getcwd(struct uio *buf);
```



Example: OS/161 emufs vnode ops

};

```
/*
```

* Function table for emufs files.

*/

```
static const struct vnode_ops
emufs_fileops = {
    VOP_MAGIC, /* mark this a
    valid vnode ops table */
```

```
emufs_open,
emufs_close,
emufs_reclaim,
```


```
emufs_read,
NOTDIR, /* readlink */
NOTDIR, /* getdirentry */
emufs_write,
emufs_ioctl,
emufs_stat,
```

```
emufs_file_gettype,
emufs_tryseek,
emufs_fsync,
UNIMP, /* mmap */
emufs_truncate,
NOTDIR, /* namefile */
```

```
NOTDIR, /* creat */
NOTDIR, /* symlink */
NOTDIR, /* mkdir */
NOTDIR, /* link */
NOTDIR, /* remove */
NOTDIR, /* remove */
NOTDIR, /* rename */
NOTDIR, /* lookup */
NOTDIR, /* lookup */
```



File Descriptor & Open File Tables










Motivation



File Descriptors

- File descriptors
 - Each open file has a file descriptor
 - Read/Write/Iseek/.... use them to specify which file to operate on.
- State associated with a file fescriptor
 - File pointer
 - Determines where in the file the next read or write is performed
 - Mode
 - Was the file opened read-only, etc....



An Option?


 Use vnode numbers as file descriptors and add a file pointer to the vnode

- Problems
 - What happens when we concurrently open the same file twice?
 - We should get two separate file descriptors and file pointers....



An Option?


- Single global open file array
 - *fd* is an index into the array
 - Entries contain file pointer and pointer to a vnode





Issues


- File descriptor 1 is stdout
 - Stdout is
 - console for some processes
 - A file for others
- Entry 1 needs to be different per process!






Per-process File Descriptor Array

- Each process has its own open file array
 - Contains fp, v-ptr etc.
 - *Fd* 1 can be any inode for each process (console, log file).






Issue



•


NEW SOUTH WALES

Per-Process *fd* table with global open file table




Per-Process *fd* table with global open file table

 Used by Linux and most other Unix operating systems



Buffer Cache










Buffer

- Buffer:
 - Temporary storage used when transferring data between two entities
 - Especially when the entities work at different rates
 - Or when the unit of transfer is incompatible
 - Example: between application program and disk



Buffering Disk Blocks






Disk

31

Buffering Disk Blocks






32

Disk

Buffering Disk Blocks






Disk

Cache

- Cache:
 - Fast storage used to temporarily hold data to speed up repeated access to the data
 - Example: Main memory can cache disk blocks



Caching Disk Blocks





35

Disk

Buffering and caching are related

- Data is read into buffer; extra cache copy would be wasteful
- After use, block should be put in a cache
- Future access may hit cached copy
- Cache utilises unused kernel memory space; may have to shrink




Unix Buffer Cache

On read

- Hash the device#, block#
- Check if match in buffer cache
- Yes, simply use in-memory copy
- No, follow the collision chain
- If not found, we load block from disk into cache





Replacement

- What happens when the buffer cache is full and we need to read another block into memory?
 - We must choose an existing entry to replace
 - Need a policy to choose a victim
 - Can use First-in First-out
 - Least Recently Used, or others.
 - Timestamps required for LRU implementation
 - However, is strict LRU what we want?



File System Consistency

- File data is expected to survive
- Strict LRU could keep critical data in memory forever if it is frequently used.



File System Consistency

- Generally, cached disk blocks are prioritised in terms of how critical they are to file system consistency
 - Directory blocks, inode blocks if lost can corrupt entire filesystem
 - E.g. imagine losing the root directory
 - These blocks are usually scheduled for immediate write to disk
 - Data blocks if lost corrupt only the file that they are associated with
 - These blocks are only scheduled for write back to disk periodically
 - In UNIX, flushd (*flush daemon*) flushes all modified blocks to disk every 30 seconds




File System Consistency

- Alternatively, use a write-through cache
 - All modified blocks are written immediately to disk
 - Generates much more disk traffic
 - Temporary files written back
 - Multiple updates not combined
 - Used by DOS
 - Gave okay consistency when
 - Floppies were removed from drives
 - Users were constantly resetting (or crashing) their machines
 - Still used, e.g. USB storage devices



Disk scheduler










Disk Management

- Management and ordering of disk access requests is important:
 - Huge speed gap between memory and disk
 - Disk throughput is extremely sensitive to
 - Request order \Rightarrow Disk Scheduling
 - Placement of data on the disk \Rightarrow file system design
 - Disk scheduler must be aware of *disk* geometry



Disk Geometry



- Physical geometry of a disk with two zones
 - Outer tracks can store more sectors than inner without exceed max information density
- A possible virtual geometry for this disk



Evolution of Disk Hardware

Parameter	IBM 360-KB floppy disk	WD 18300 hard disk
Number of cylinders	40	10601
Tracks per cylinder	2	12
Sectors per track	9	281 (avg)
Sectors per disk	720	35742000
Bytes per sector	512	512
Disk capacity	360 KB	18.3 GB
Seek time (adjacent cylinders)	6 msec	0.8 msec
Seek time (average case)	77 msec	6.9 msec
Rotation time	200 msec	8.33 msec
Motor stop/start time	250 msec	20 sec
Time to transfer 1 sector	22 msec	17 μsec

Disk parameters for the original IBM PC floppy disk and a Western Digital WD 18300 hard disk




Things to Note

- Average seek time is approx 12 times better
- Rotation time is 24 times faster
- Transfer time is 1300 times faster
 - Most of this gain is due to increase in density
- Represents a gradual engineering improvement



Storage Capacity is 50000 times greater



47

Estimating Access Time

- Seek time T_s : Moving the head to the required track
 - * not linear in the number of tracks to traverse:
 - → startup time
 - → settling time
 - ⋆ Typical average seek time: a few milliseconds
- Rotational delay:
 - \star rotational speed, r, of 5,000 to 10,000 rpm
 - \star At 10,000rpm, one revolution per 6ms \Rightarrow average delay 3ms
- Transfer time:

to transfer b bytes, with N bytes per track: T

$$=\frac{b}{rN}$$

7

$$T_a = T_s + \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{b}{rN}$$



A Timing Comparison

• $T_s = 2 \text{ ms}, r = 10,000 \text{ rpm}, 512B \text{ sect}, 320 \text{ sect/track}$

- Read a file with 2560 sectors (= 1.3MB)
- File stored compactly (8 adjacent tracks): Read first track

Average seek	2ms		
Rot. delay	3ms		
Read 320 sectors	6ms		
	11ms	\Rightarrow All sectors: 11 + 7 * 8 = 67 ms	
Sectors distributed randomly over the disk:			
Read any sec	tor		
Average seek	2m:	S	
	•		


Rot. delay 3ms

Read 1 sector 0.01875ms

5.01875ms \Rightarrow All: 2560 * 5.01875 = 20, 328ms

Disk Performance is Entirely Dominated by Seek and Rotational Delays

- Will only get worse as capacity increases much faster than increase in seek time and rotation speed
 - Note it has been easier to spin the disk faster than improve seek time
- Operating System should minimise mechanical delays as much as possible






Disk Arm Scheduling Algorithms

- Time required to read or write a disk block determined by 3 factors
 - 1. Seek time
 - 2. Rotational delay
 - 3. Actual transfer time
- Seek time dominates
- For a single disk, there will be a number of I/O requests
 - Processing them in random order leads to worst possible performance




First-in, First-out (FIFO)

- Process requests as they come
- Fair (no starvation)
- Good for a few processes with clustered requests
- Deteriorates to random if there are many processes




Shortest Seek Time First

- Select request that minimises the seek time
- Generally performs much better than FIFO
- May lead to starvation



Elevator Algorithm (SCAN)

- Move head in one direction
 - Services requests in track order until it reaches the last track, then reverses direction
- Better than FIFO, usually worse than SSTF
- Avoids starvation
- Makes poor use of sequential reads (on down-scan)
- Less Locality



Modified Elevator (Circular SCAN, C-SCAN)

- Like elevator, but reads sectors in only one direction
 When reaching last track, go back to first track non-stop
- Better locality on sequential reads
- Better use of read ahead cache on controller
- Reduces max delay to read a particular sector

