Algorithmic Verification

Comp4151
Lecture 12-A
Ansgar Fehnker

Outline

Model checking real-time systems

Themes
- Decidability
- Efficient implementations and data structures
- Application examples

Today
- Efficient reachability
  - Zone semantics
  - Bounded model checking

Recap

Timed automata
- A finite control graph with locations and edges
- Instantaneous transitions along edges, delays while in location
- Real-valued clocks, that increase at the same rate
- Constraints on clocks as guard on edges
- Clock resets to measure time between transitions
- Invariants in locations to enforce progress
- Labels for synchronization

The reachability problem for timed automata is decidable
Finite partition in regions of equivalent clock valuations
Region automaton with finite semantics

However

Reachability is linear in the size of the region automaton
The size of the region automaton is
- linear in the number of locations,
- exponential in the number of clocks, and
- exponential in the encoding of the constants.

The reachability problem is Pspace complete.
Decidability for Timed Automata

Decidability was shown for diagonal-free TA. But
- A timed automaton with diagonal constraints is timed bisimilar to an TA without diagonal constraints

\[ \text{(Borard, Diekert, Gastin, Petit 1998)} \]

Other positive results
- TCTL model checking for timed automata is decidable
- Emptiness of untimed language is decidable
- Timed bisimulation is decidable
- Untimed bisimulation is decidable

Decidability for Timed Automata

Negative Results
- The universality problem is undecidable.
- Timed language inclusion is undecidable.
- Timed automata are not determinizable nor complementable
- The following leads to undecidability:
  - Decrementing clocks
  - Incrementing clocks
  - Linear expressions as guards
  - Guards that compare clocks with irrational constants
  - Stop-watches (i.e. clocks that can have rates 0 or 1)
- However there are subclasses of TA such that make of these problems decidable.

Recap

Last Monday
- Discrete time (tick semantics) vs real-time (Continuous Time)
- Timed automata for modelling real-time
- Can be used for continuous time models of unreliable digital clocks.

Last Thursday
- Decidability via partitioning into regions of equivalent states
- Symbolic region semantics
- Region automaton tends to be (too) large.
Zones

An observation
- Guards and invariants are comparisons of clocks and clock differences with constants
- Resets are projections
- All clocks proceed at the same rate
  - Delays do not affect difference-constraints
  - A clock can exceed any bound by delay
- A system of clock and difference constraints defines a union of regions

The zone approach
- Propagate clock and difference constraints, rather than regions.

Operations on zones

Conjunction
- Let $Z, Z'$ be two zones then $Z \land Z' := Z \cap Z'$

reset
- Let $Z, x \in C$ then \( \text{reset}(Z, x) = \{ \forall x = 0 \mid v \in Z \} \)
- Removing all constraints involving $x$, add $x \leq 0$ and $x \geq 0$
Operations on zones

**Delay**

- Let $Z, x \in C$ then $\text{delay}(Z) = \{ v+d \mid v \in Z, d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \}$
- Removing all upper bounds on clocks

![Diagram of delay transitions]

Zone Semantics

**Definition**

The *symbolic zone semantics* of a timed automaton $A = (\text{Loc}, \Sigma, E, \text{Inv})$ is given as a transition system with

- set of states $S = \{ (l, Z) \mid \text{Loc} \subseteq Z \}$
- initial state $s_0 = (l, \emptyset)$

**Example**

Show that $A \parallel B$ cannot reach the critical section in location $(cs, cs_i)$

![Diagram of transition system and example]
Example

Reachability with Zones

Forward Reachability

Pass := {} , Wait := {(l, Z)}
while Wait ≠ {} do
    select (l,Z) from Wait
    if l = l₁
        return "l₁ reachable"
    fi
    if Z ⊆ Z' for all (l', Z') in Pass then
        add (l, Z) to Pass
        forall (m, Z') such that (l, Z) ∈ Pass
            add (m, Z') to Pass
    fi
od
return "l₁ not reachable"

Reachability with Zones

Observation

Forward reachability for the zone semantics may not terminate.

k-normalization

Given a closed zone Z:

- Remove all constraints x < n, x ≤ n
- Replace all constraints x > n, x ≥ n
  where n,m ≥ k(x)

Number of k-normalized zones is finite.
Reachability with Zones

Another observation
- For TAs with diagonal constraints, the soundness result is lost.
- Location may be reachable as an artefact of $k$-normalization.
- Discovered in 2002 by Patricia Bouyer (Timed Automata may cause some troubles, Unravelled timed automata).
- There are automata (> 3 clocks) such that no sound $k$-normalization exists.

Reachability with Zones

$k$-Normalization with Difference Constraints
- Given a set of difference constraints $G$ that are used in the timed automaton, normalize a zone $Z$ as follows:
  - Collect all constraints in $G$ that are either satisfied by all or no valuations in the un-normalized zone $Z$.
  - Split the zone for each constraint in $G$ that intersects with the un-normalized zone $Z$.
  - Apply $k$-normalization to thus obtained zones.
  - Add all difference constraints (or their negations) that were collected in the first step to the zone.
- This solves the problem.

Efficient operations on zones

Difference Bound Matrices
A compact representation of a minimal set of constraints
- Given a set of constraints on clocks introduce a special clock $x_0$ constant to zero
- Represent differences as weighted directed graph [Bellman 1958, Dijkstra 1999]

Efficient operations on zones

Difference Bound Matrices

Inclusion check

Comparison of weights
Efficient operations on zones

Difference Bound Matrices

Emptiness

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 x_1 + x_2 & x_3 \\
 x_2 + x_4 & x_5 \\
 x_3 + x_5 & x_6 \\
 x_4 + x_6 & x_7
\end{bmatrix} \leq 3
\]

negative cycle or empty solution set

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 x_1 + x_2 & x_3 \\
 x_2 + x_4 & x_5 \\
 x_3 + x_5 & x_6 \\
 x_4 + x_6 & x_7
\end{bmatrix} \leq 3
\]

Conjunction

add new edge

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 x_1 + x_2 & x_3 \\
 x_2 + x_4 & x_5 \\
 x_3 + x_5 & x_6 \\
 x_4 + x_6 & x_7
\end{bmatrix} \leq 3
\]

Delay

shortest path closure and removal of upper bounds

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 x_1 + x_2 & x_3 \\
 x_2 + x_4 & x_5 \\
 x_3 + x_5 & x_6 \\
 x_4 + x_6 & x_7
\end{bmatrix} \leq 3
\]

Reset

remove difference constraints and set \( x_i \) to zero

Now we've got everything for an efficient implementation.
Other Data Structures

Clock difference diagrams
- CDDs are BDD like structures
- Similar data structures are NDDs and IDDs

Example

Timed Automata Verification

Uppaal
- Supports zone semantics and CDDs
- On-the-fly forward reachability
- Uses optimizations such as
  - bit-state hashing
  - convex-hull approximation
  - active clock reduction
- Simplified specification language
  - $A[p]$ \quad Safety
  - $E<>p$ \quad Liveness
  - $A<>p$ \quad Liveness
  - $p$ imply $q$ (read "$p$ leads to $q$, i.e. $p \Rightarrow A<>q$"

Timed Automata Verification

Liveness in Uppaal

Checking $p \Rightarrow q$
- Compute the zone automaton, split zones such that they agree on validity of $p$ and $q$.
- $p \Rightarrow q$ does not hold if one can find the following
  - A loop such that after reaching $p$, $q$ will never hold.
  - An unbounded zone, such that there exist an infinite delay, such that after reaching $p$, $q$ can not be reached.
  - A deadend zone such that after reaching $p$, $q$ can not be reached.

Uppaal contains optimizations such that the splitting is not physically done.
Timed Automata Verification

Uppaal
Kronos

- Uses zone semantics
- Model checking TCTL
  - Product automaton computed in advance
- On-the fly forward reachability
- Untimed language inclusion
- Optimizations such as
  - active clock reduction
  - convex-hull approximation

Timed Automata Verification

Uppaal
Kronos

Fully symbolic

- RED
  - Region Encoding Diagram, encodes region automaton as BDD
- DDD
  - Difference Decision Diagrams,
  - TMV
- quantifier elimination and deciding of constraints from real-valued to boolean variables, BDDs, SAT solving, full TCTL support.
- Mathsat
- Bounded model checking using hybrid SAT.

Summary

Timed Automata

- Framework for modelling systems with real time
- Underlying infinite state transition systems
- Decidability via region automaton construction
- Efficiency via zones and DBMs
- Alternatives to DBMs exists
- First tool using SAT-like techniques