



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Simon Winwood, Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein



Slide 1



List Homework

- List objects (terms)
- Constructors: cons, nil
- map (that is, $\text{map } f [x_1, \dots, x_n] = [f x_1, \dots, f x_n]$)
- foldl (that is, $\text{foldl } f i [x_1, \dots, x_n] = f x_1 (f x_2 (f x_3 (\dots (f x_n i))))$)

Slide 2



So, what can you do with λ calculus?

λ calculus is very expressive, you can encode:
 → logic, set theory
 → turing machines, functional programs, etc.

Examples:

$\text{true} \equiv \lambda x y. x$ $\text{if true } x y \rightarrow_{\beta}^* x$
 $\text{false} \equiv \lambda x y. y$ $\text{if false } x y \rightarrow_{\beta}^* y$
 $\text{if} \equiv \lambda z x y. z x y$

Now, not, and, or, etc is easy:

$\text{not} \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \text{ false true}$
 $\text{and} \equiv \lambda x y. \text{if } x y \text{ false}$
 $\text{or} \equiv \lambda x y. \text{if } x \text{ true } y$

Slide 3



More Examples

Encoding natural numbers (Church Numerals)

$0 \equiv \lambda f x. x$
 $1 \equiv \lambda f x. f x$
 $2 \equiv \lambda f x. f (f x)$
 $3 \equiv \lambda f x. f (f (f x))$
 ...

Numeral n takes arguments f and x , applies f n -times to x .

$\text{iszero} \equiv \lambda n. n (\lambda x. \text{false}) \text{true}$
 $\text{succ} \equiv \lambda n f x. f (n f x)$
 $\text{add} \equiv \lambda m n. \lambda f x. m f (n f x)$

Slide 4

Fix Points


$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) t \rightarrow_{\beta} \\ & (\lambda f. f ((\lambda x f. f (x x f)) (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) f)) t \rightarrow_{\beta} \\ & t ((\lambda x f. f (x x f)) (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) t) \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) (\lambda x f. f (x x f)) \\ \mu t &\rightarrow_{\beta} t (\mu t) \rightarrow_{\beta} t (t (\mu t)) \rightarrow_{\beta} t (t (t (\mu t))) \rightarrow_{\beta} \dots \end{aligned}$$

$(\lambda x f. f (x x f)) (\lambda x f. f (x x f))$ is Turing's fix point operator

Slide 5

Nice, but ...



As a mathematical foundation, λ does not work. **It is inconsistent.**

- **Frege** (Predicate Logic, ~ 1879): allows arbitrary quantification over predicates
- **Russel** (1901): Paradox $R \equiv \{X|X \notin X\}$
- **Whitehead & Russel** (Principia Mathematica, 1910-1913): Fix the problem
- **Church** (1930): λ calculus as logic, true, false, \wedge , ... as λ terms

Problem: with $\{x|P x\} \equiv \lambda x. P x$ $x \in M \equiv M x$
you can write $R \equiv \lambda x. \text{not } (x x)$
and get $(R R) =_{\beta} \text{not } (R R)$

Slide 6

We have learned so far...



- λ calculus syntax
- free variables, substitution
- β reduction
- α and η conversion
- β reduction is confluent
- λ calculus is very expressive (turing complete)
- λ calculus is inconsistent

Slide 7

λ calculus is inconsistent



Can find term R such that $R R =_{\beta} \text{not}(R R)$

There are more terms that do not make sense:
1 2, true false, etc.

Solution: rule out ill-formed terms by using types.
(Church 1940)

Slide 8

Introducing types



Idea: assign a type to each “sensible” λ term.

Examples:

- for term t has type α write $t :: \alpha$
- if x has type α then $\lambda x. x$ is a function from α to α
Write: $(\lambda x. x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$
- for $s t$ to be sensible:
 - s must be function
 - t must be right type for parameter
- If $s :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ and $t :: \alpha$ then $(s t) :: \beta$

Slide 9



THAT’S ABOUT IT

Slide 10

NOW FORMALLY AGAIN



Slide 11

Syntax for λ^{\rightarrow}



Terms: $t ::= v \mid c \mid (t t) \mid (\lambda x. t)$
 $v, x \in V, c \in C, V, C$ sets of names

Types: $\tau ::= b \mid \nu \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau$
 $b \in \{\text{bool}, \text{int}, \dots\}$ base types
 $\nu \in \{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ type variables

$$\alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \gamma = \alpha \Rightarrow (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$$

Context Γ :

Γ : function from variable and constant names to types.

Term t has type τ in context Γ : $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Slide 12

Examples

$$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$$

$$[y \leftarrow \text{int}] \vdash y :: \text{int}$$

$$[z \leftarrow \text{bool}] \vdash (\lambda y. y) z :: \text{bool}$$

$$\square \vdash \lambda f x. f x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$$

A term t is **well typed** or **type correct**
if there are Γ and τ such that $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Slide 13



Example Type Derivation:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\square \vdash \alpha, y \leftarrow \beta \vdash x :: \alpha}{\square \vdash \alpha} \quad \square \vdash \lambda y. x :: \beta \Rightarrow \alpha}{\square \vdash \lambda x y. x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \alpha}}$$

Slide 15



Type Checking Rules

Variables: $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x :: \Gamma(x)}$

Application: $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 :: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 t_2) :: \tau_1}$

Abstraction: $\frac{\Gamma[x \leftarrow \tau_1] \vdash t :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. t) :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$

Slide 14



More complex Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash f :: \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \quad \Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash f x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta} \quad \Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash f x x :: \beta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f x x :: \beta}{[f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta] \vdash \lambda x. f x x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}}{\square \vdash \lambda f x. f x x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta}$$

$$\Gamma = [f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, x \leftarrow \alpha]$$

Slide 16



More general Types



A term can have more than one type.

Example: $\vdash \lambda x. x :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $\vdash \lambda x. x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

Some types are more general than others:

$\tau \lesssim \sigma$ if there is a substitution S such that $\tau = S(\sigma)$

Examples:

$\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \lesssim \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \lesssim \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \not\lesssim \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

Slide 17

Most general Types



Fact: each type correct term has a most general type

Formally:

$\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau \implies \exists \sigma. \Gamma \vdash t :: \sigma \wedge (\forall \sigma'. \Gamma \vdash t :: \sigma' \implies \sigma' \lesssim \sigma)$

It can be found by executing the typing rules backwards.

→ **type checking:** checking if $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$ for given Γ and τ

→ **type inference:** computing Γ and τ such that $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Type checking and type inference on λ^{\rightarrow} are decidable.

Slide 18

What about β reduction?



Definition of β reduction stays the same.

Fact: Well typed terms stay well typed during β reduction

Formally: $\Gamma \vdash s :: \tau \wedge s \rightarrow_{\beta} t \implies \Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

This property is called **subject reduction**

Slide 19

What about termination?



β reduction in λ^{\rightarrow} always terminates.



(Alan Turing, 1942)

→ **$=_{\beta}$ is decidable**

To decide if $s =_{\beta} t$, reduce s and t to normal form (always exists, because \rightarrow_{β} terminates), and compare result.

→ **$=_{\alpha\beta\eta}$ is decidable**

This is why Isabelle can automatically reduce each term to $\beta\eta$ normal form.

Slide 20

What does this mean for Expressiveness?



Not all computable functions can be expressed in λ^{\rightarrow} !

How can typed functional languages then be turing complete?

Fact:

Each computable function can be encoded as closed, type correct λ^{\rightarrow} term using $Y :: (\tau \Rightarrow \tau) \Rightarrow \tau$ with $Y t \rightarrow_{\beta} t (Y t)$ as only constant.

- Y is called fix point operator
- used for recursion
- lose decidability (what does $Y (\lambda x.x)$ reduce to?)

Slide 21