## Lists in the $\lambda$ -calculus

## Simon Winwood

July 15, 2011

We will represent list objects as functions taking an argument for the cons case, and one for the nil case, so lists will, in general, have the form

$$\lambda f n. \dots$$

Thus, in the nil case we can just return the second argument.

$$nil = \lambda f \ n.n$$

In the cons case, we need to pass the list elements to the first function, along with something for the tail of the list

$$cons = \lambda x \ xs.\lambda f \ n.f \ x \ (xs \ f \ n)$$

Note that we need to pass f and n to xs.

To implement map, that is,

$$map \ f \ [x_1, \dots, x_n] = [f \ x_1, \dots, f \ x_n]$$

we note that, in the nil case, we simply want nil again. In the cons case, we want

$$map \ f \ (cons \ x \ xs) = cons \ (fx)(map \ f \ xs)$$

hence

$$map = \lambda f x s. x s (\lambda x \ x s'. cons (f x) \ x s') \ nil$$

The foldl function

foldl 
$$f$$
 i  $[x_1, ..., x_n] = f$   $x_1$   $(f$   $x_2$   $(f$   $x_3$   $(... (f$   $x_n$   $i)))...)$ 

is rather simpler, and is left as an exercise.