

### **COMP 4161**

NICTA Advanced Course

### **Advanced Topics in Software Verification**

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray



Slide 1

### Exercises from last time



- → Reduce  $(\lambda x.\ y\ (\lambda v.\ x\ v))\ (\lambda y.\ v\ y)$  to  $\beta\eta$  normal form. → Find an encoding for function fs, sn, and pair such that  $fs\ (pair\ a\ b)$
- → Find an encoding for function fs, sn, and pair such that fs  $(pair\ a\ b) =_{\beta} a$  and sn  $(pair\ a\ b) =_{\beta} b$ .
- → (harder) Find an encoding of list objects, i.e. for the function cons and nil. Then find an encoding for map (that is, map  $f[x_1,\ldots,x_n]=[fx_1,\ldots,fx_n]$ ), and for foldl (that is, foldl  $fi[x_1,\ldots,x_n]=fx_1$  ( $fi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=fi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=fi(x_n)$ )...))

Slide 2

Content

O • NICTA

Rough t	imeline
---------	---------

→ Intro & motivation, getting started

[1]

→ Foundations & Principles

Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [2,3,4°]
Higher Order Logic [5,6<sup>6</sup>,7]
Term rewriting [8,9,10°]

→ Proof & Specification Techniques

Isar [11,12<sup>d</sup>]
Inductively defined sets, rule induction [13<sup>c</sup>,15]
Datatypes, recursion, induction [16,17<sup>f</sup>,18,19]
Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs [20]
Hoare logic, proofs about programs [21<sup>g</sup>,22,23]

### Slide 3

 $\lambda$  calculus is inconsistent



Can find term R such that R  $R =_{\beta} not(R R)$ 

There are more terms that do not make sense:

12, true false, etc.

**Solution**: rule out ill-formed terms by using types. (Church 1940)

Slide 4

.

2

 $<sup>^</sup>a$ a1 out;  $^b$ a1 due;  $^c$ a2 out;  $^d$ a2 due;  $^e$ session break;  $^f$ a3 out;  $^g$ a3 due

## Introducing types



**Idea:** assign a type to each "sensible"  $\lambda$  term.

### Examples:

- $\rightarrow$  for term t has type  $\alpha$  write  $t :: \alpha$
- $\Rightarrow \text{ if } x \text{ has type } \alpha \text{ then } \quad \lambda x. \ x \quad \text{is a function from } \alpha \text{ to } \alpha$  Write:  $(\lambda x. \ x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow a$
- → for st to be sensible: s must be function

t must be right type for parameter

If  $s :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$  and  $t :: \alpha$  then  $(s t) :: \beta$ 

Slide 5



THAT'S ABOUT IT

Slide 6



# Now Formally Again

### Slide 7

## Syntax for $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$



 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Types:} & \tau & ::= & b \mid \nu \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau \\ & b \in \{\texttt{bool}, \texttt{int}, \ldots\} \text{ base types} \\ & \nu \in \{\alpha, \beta, \ldots\} \text{ type variables} \end{array}$ 

 $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta \Rightarrow \gamma = \alpha \Rightarrow (\beta \Rightarrow \gamma)$ 

### Context $\Gamma$ :

 $\Gamma$ : function from variable and constant names to types.

Term t has type  $\tau$  in context  $\Gamma$ :  $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$ 

# Examples



$$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.\; x) :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$$

$$[y \leftarrow \mathtt{int}] \vdash y :: \mathtt{int}$$

$$[z \leftarrow \mathtt{bool}] \vdash (\lambda y.\ y)\ z :: \mathtt{bool}$$

$$[] \vdash \lambda f \ x. \ f \ x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$$

A term t is **well typed** or **type correct** if there are  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$ 

## Slide 9

# Type Checking Rules



Variables:

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash x :: \Gamma(x)}$$

Application:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 :: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1 \ t_2) :: \tau_1}$$

Abstraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \leftarrow \tau_1] \vdash t :: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. \ t) :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2}$$

Slide 10

# Example Type Derivation:



### Slide 11

# More complex Example



 $\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \Gamma \vdash f :: \alpha \Rightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) & \overline{\Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha} \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash f x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta & \overline{\Gamma \vdash x :: \alpha} \\ \hline \hline \Gamma \vdash f x x :: \beta \\ \hline [f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta] \vdash \lambda x. \ f x x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \\ \hline [] \vdash \lambda f x. \ f x x :: (\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \end{array}$ 

 $\Gamma = [f \leftarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta, x \leftarrow \alpha]$ 





A term can have more than one type.

**Example:**  $[] \vdash \lambda x. \ x :: \texttt{bool} \Rightarrow \texttt{bool}$   $[] \vdash \lambda x. \ x :: \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ 

Some types are more general than others:

 $\tau \lesssim \sigma$  if there is a substitution S such that  $\tau = S(\sigma)$ 

Examples:

 $\mathtt{int} \Rightarrow \mathtt{bool} \quad \lesssim \quad \alpha \Rightarrow \beta \quad \lesssim \quad \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \quad \not\lesssim \quad \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ 

Slide 13

# Most general Types



Fact: each type correct term has a most general type

Formally:

$$\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau \implies \exists \sigma. \ \Gamma \vdash t :: \sigma \land (\forall \sigma'. \ \Gamma \vdash t :: \sigma' \Longrightarrow \sigma' \lesssim \sigma)$$

It can be found by executing the typing rules backwards.

- $\Rightarrow$  type checking: checking if  $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$  for given  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$
- $\rightarrow$  type inference: computing  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$

Type checking and type inference on  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  are decidable.

Slide 14

What about  $\beta$  reduction?



Definition of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  reduction stays the same.

Fact: Well typed terms stay well typed during  $\beta$  reduction

**Formally:**  $\Gamma \vdash s :: \tau \land s \longrightarrow_{\beta} t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$ 

This property is called subject reduction

Slide 15

What about termination?



 $\beta$  reduction in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  always terminates.



(Alan Turing, 1942)

 $\Rightarrow$  =<sub>\beta</sub> is decidable

To decide if  $s =_{\beta} t$ , reduce s and t to normal form (always exists, because  $\longrightarrow_{\beta}$  terminates), and compare result.

 $\rightarrow =_{\alpha\beta\eta}$  is decidable

This is why Isabelle can automatically reduce each term to  $\beta\eta$  normal form.

## What does this mean for Expressiveness?



#### Not all computable functions can be expressed in $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ !

How can typed functional languages then be turing complete?

#### Fact:

Each computable function can be encoded as closed, type correct  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  term using  $Y::(\tau\Rightarrow\tau)\Rightarrow\tau$  with  $Y\;t\longrightarrow_{\beta}t\;(Y\;t)$  as only constant.

- → Y is called fix point operator
- → used for recursion
- → lose decidability (what does  $Y(\lambda x.x)$  reduce to?)

### Slide 17

### Types and Terms in Isabelle



**Types:**  $\tau ::= b \mid {}'\nu \mid {}'\nu :: C \mid \tau \Rightarrow \tau \mid (\tau, \dots, \tau) K$ 

 $b \in \{bool, int, ...\}$  base types

 $\nu \in \{\alpha,\beta,\ldots\}$  type variables

 $K \in \{ \text{set}, \text{list}, \ldots \}$  type constructors

 $C \in \{ \texttt{order}, \texttt{linord}, \ldots \}$  type classes

- → type constructors: construct a new type out of a parameter type. Example: int list
- $\Rightarrow$  type classes: restrict type variables to a class defined by axioms. Example:  $\alpha :: order$
- → schematic variables: variables that can be instantiated.

#### Slide 18

## Type Classes



→ similar to Haskell's type classes, but with semantic properties

$$\begin{split} & \textbf{axclass} \text{ order} < \text{ord} \\ & \text{ order\_refl: } "x \leq x" \\ & \text{ order\_trans: } "[\![x \leq y; y \leq z]\!] \Longrightarrow x \leq z" \end{split}$$

→ theorems can be proved in the abstract

**lemma** order\_less\_trans: "  $\bigwedge x ::'a :: order$ .  $[x < y; y < z] \implies x < z$ "

→ can be used for subtyping

**axclass** linorder < order linorder\_linear: " $x \le y \lor y \le x$ "

→ can be instantiated

instance nat :: "{order, linorder}" by ...

#### Slide 19

### Schematic Variables



 $\frac{X \quad Y}{X \wedge Y}$ 

→ X and Y must be instantiated to apply the rule

But: lemma "x + 0 = 0 + x"

- → x is free
- → convention: lemma must be true for all x
- → during the proof, x must not be instantiated

#### Solution:

Isabelle has free (x), bound (x), and schematic (?X) variables.

Only schematic variables can be instantiated.

Free converted into schematic after proof is finished.

## Higher Order Unification



#### Unification:

Find substitution  $\sigma$  on variables for terms s,t such that  $\sigma(s)=\sigma(t)$ 

#### In Isabelle:

Find substitution  $\sigma$  on schematic variables such that  $\sigma(s) =_{\alpha\beta\eta} \sigma(t)$ 

#### Examples

$$\begin{array}{lll} ?X \wedge ?Y & =_{\alpha\beta\eta} & x \wedge x & [?X \leftarrow x, ?Y \leftarrow x] \\ ?P \ x & =_{\alpha\beta\eta} & x \wedge x & [?P \leftarrow \lambda x. \ x \wedge x] \\ P \ (?f \ x) & =_{\alpha\beta\eta} & ?Y \ x & [?f \leftarrow \lambda x. \ x, ?Y \leftarrow P] \end{array}$$

Higher Order: schematic variables can be functions.

### Slide 21

## Higher Order Unification



- $\rightarrow$  Unification modulo  $\alpha\beta$  (Higher Order Unification) is semi-decidable
- ightharpoonup Unification modulo  $\alpha\beta\eta$  is undecidable
- → Higher Order Unification has possibly infinitely many solutions

#### But:

- → Most cases are well-behaved
- → Important fragments (like Higher Order Patterns) are decidable

### **Higher Order Pattern:**

- $\rightarrow$  is a term in  $\beta$  normal form where
- $\rightarrow$  each occurrence of a schematic variable is of the from  $?f\ t_1\ \dots\ t_n$
- ightharpoonup and the  $t_1 \ \dots \ t_n$  are  $\eta$ -convertible into n distinct bound variables

Slide 22

### We have learned so far...



- $\rightarrow$  Simply typed lambda calculus:  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$
- $\rightarrow$  Typing rules for  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ , type variables, type contexts
- ightharpoonup  $\beta$ -reduction in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  satisfies subject reduction
- $\rightarrow$   $\beta$ -reduction in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  always terminates
- → Types and terms in Isabelle

### Slide 23

### Exercises



- $\rightarrow$  Construct a type derivation tree for the term  $\lambda x \ y \ z. \ z \ x \ (y \ x)$
- $\rightarrow$  Find a unifier (substitution) such that  $\lambda x \ y \ z$ . ? $F \ y \ z = \lambda x \ y \ z$ .  $z \ (?G \ x \ y)$