

COMP 4161

NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray

HOL

Content

Rough timeline

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]

- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [2,3,4^a]
 - Higher Order Logic [5,6^b,7]
 - Term rewriting [8,9,10^c]

- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Isar [11,12^d]
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [13^e,15]
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction [16,17^f,18,19]
 - Calculational reasoning, mathematics style proofs [20]
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs [21^g,22,23]

^a a1 out; ^b a1 due; ^c a2 out; ^d a2 due; ^e session break; ^f a3 out; ^g a3 due

QUANTIFIERS

Scope

- Scope of parameters: whole subgoal
- Scope of \forall, \exists, \dots : ends with ; or \implies

Example:

$$\wedge x y. [\forall y. P y \longrightarrow Q z y; Q x y] \implies \exists x. Q x y$$

means

$$\wedge x y. [(\forall y_1. P y_1 \longrightarrow Q z y_1); Q x y] \implies (\exists x_1. Q x_1 y)$$

Natural deduction for quantifiers

$$\frac{\bigwedge x. P x}{\forall x. P x} \text{allI} \qquad \frac{\forall x. P x \quad P ?x \implies R}{R} \text{allE}$$

$$\frac{P ?x}{\exists x. P x} \text{exI} \qquad \frac{\exists x. P x \quad \bigwedge x. P x \implies R}{R} \text{exE}$$

- **allI** and **exE** introduce new parameters ($\bigwedge x$).
- **allE** and **exI** introduce new unknowns ($?x$).

Instantiating Rules

apply (rule_tac x = "*term*" in *rule*)

Like **rule**, but $?x$ in *rule* is instantiated by *term* before application.

Similar: **erule_tac**

! *x* is in *rule*, not in goal **!**

Two Successful Proofs

1. $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\bigwedge x. \exists y. x = y$

best practice

apply (rule_tac x = "x" in exI)

1. $\bigwedge x. x = x$

apply (rule refl)

simpler & clearer

exploration

apply (rule exI)

1. $\bigwedge x. x = ?y x$

apply (rule refl)

$?y \mapsto \lambda u. u$

shorter & trickier

Two Unsuccessful Proofs

1. $\exists y. \forall x. x = y$

apply (rule_tac x = ??? in exI)

apply (rule exI)

1. $\forall x. x = ?y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\bigwedge x. x = ?y$

apply (rule refl)

$?y \mapsto x$ yields $\bigwedge x'. x' = x$

Principle:

$?f\ x_1 \dots x_n$ **can only be replaced by term t**

if $params(t) \subseteq x_1, \dots, x_n$

Safe and Unsafe Rules

Safe all, exE

Unsafe allE, exI

Create parameters first, unknowns later

DEMO: QUANTIFIER PROOFS

Parameter names are chosen by Isabelle

1. $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule allI)

1. $\wedge x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule_tac x = "x" in exI)

Brittle!

Renaming parameters

1. $\forall x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rule all)

1. $\bigwedge x. \exists y. x = y$

apply (rename_tac N)

1. $\bigwedge N. \exists y. N = y$

apply (rule_tac x = "N" in exI)

In general:

(rename_tac $x_1 \dots x_n$) renames the rightmost (inner) n parameters to

$x_1 \dots x_n$

Forward Proof: frule and drule

apply (frule $\langle rule \rangle$)

Rule: $\llbracket A_1; \dots; A_m \rrbracket \implies A$

Subgoal: 1. $\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \implies C$

Substitution: $\sigma(B_i) \equiv \sigma(A_1)$

New subgoals: 1. $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \implies A_2)$

⋮

m-1. $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \implies A_m)$

m. $\sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n; A \rrbracket \implies C)$

Like **frule** but also deletes B_i : **apply** (drule $\langle rule \rangle$)

Examples for Forward Rules

$$\frac{P \wedge Q}{P} \text{ conjunct1} \quad \frac{P \wedge Q}{Q} \text{ conjunct2}$$

$$\frac{P \longrightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{\forall x. P x}{P ?x} \text{ spec}$$

Forward Proof: OF

$$r \text{ [OF } r_1 \dots r_n]$$

Prove assumption 1 of theorem r with theorem r_1 , and assumption 2 with theorem r_2 , and ...

$$\text{Rule } r \quad \llbracket A_1; \dots; A_m \rrbracket \implies A$$

$$\text{Rule } r_1 \quad \llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n \rrbracket \implies B$$

$$\text{Substitution} \quad \sigma(B) \equiv \sigma(A_1)$$

$$r \text{ [OF } r_1] \quad \sigma(\llbracket B_1; \dots; B_n; A_2; \dots; A_m \rrbracket \implies A)$$

Forward proofs: THEN



r_1 [THEN r_2] means r_2 [OF r_1]

DEMO: FORWARD PROOFS

Hilbert's Epsilon Operator



(David Hilbert, 1862-1943)

$\varepsilon x. Px$ is a value that satisfies P (if such a value exists)

ε also known as **description operator**.

In Isabelle the ε -operator is written $\text{SOME } x. P x$

$$\frac{P ?x}{P (\text{SOME } x. P x)} \text{ someI}$$

ε implies Axiom of Choice:

$$\forall x. \exists y. Q x y \implies \exists f. \forall x. Q x (f x)$$

Existential and universal quantification can be defined with ε .

Isabelle also knows the definite description operator **THE** (aka ι):

$$\frac{}{(\text{THE } x. x = a) = a} \text{the_eq_trivial}$$

More Proof Methods:

- apply** (intro <intro-rules>) repeatedly applies intro rules
- apply** (elim <elim-rules>) repeatedly applies elim rules
- apply** clarify applies all safe rules that do not split the goal
- apply** safe applies all safe rules
- apply** blast an automatic tableaux prover (works well on predicate logic)
- apply** fast another automatic search tactic

EPSILON AND AUTOMATION DEMO

We have learned so far...

- Proof rules for predicate calculus
- Safe and unsafe rules
- Forward Proof
- The Epsilon Operator
- Some automation

Exercises

→ We said that \mathcal{E} implies the Axiom of Choice:

$$\forall x. \exists y. Q x y \implies \exists f. \forall x. Q x (f x)$$

→ Prove the axiom of choice as a lemma, using only the introduction and elimination rules for \forall and \exists , namely `allI`, `exI`, `allE`, `exE`, and the introduction rule for \mathcal{E} , `someI`, using only the proof methods `rule`, `rule_tac`, `erule`, `erule_tac` and `assumption`.