

Advanced Operating Systems

COMP9242

Introduction



THE UNIVERSITY OF
NEW SOUTH WALES

Staff

- Lecturer in Charge
 - Gernot Heiser
- Lecturer
 - Kevin Elphinstone
- Various Support Staff
 - TBA



Why are you here?

- You've done comp3231
 - Did well (minimum credit)
 - You would like to delve deeper into OS issues
 - You'd like to get your hands *really* dirty
- Curious about where field is heading.
- Thinking about doing a thesis in OS
- Thinking about a job in embedded systems industry



What can you expect?

- Challenging Project
- Lectures in general:
 - Background required for project
 - Exposure to local research projects
 - An in-depth look at OS issues
 - Building upon the background in COMP3231
 - Exposure to recent and seminal research papers
 - Guest lectures by active researchers (PhD students and local researchers)



Project Goal

Provide students with a deeper understanding of Operating Systems through practical experience.

- Approach: Participate in the design and implementation of a simple operating system (SOS).



Project Aims

- Provide experience in OS **design** and development, including:
 - Microkernel based systems (L4::Pistachio).
 - User-level OS servers.
 - User-level page fault handlers.
 - Device drivers
 - Performance evaluation
 - Implications of cache architectures
 - Exposure to alternative OS Designs
- Demonstrate the importance of design
- Provide experience of being a team member in a large software project.



Project Aims

- Expose students to a mostly realistic OS development environment.
 - Similar to professional OS and or embedded systems developer.
- Give an understanding of what's involved in constructing an entire OS on bare hardware.
- Give an understanding of the interaction between low-level software and hardware.
- Encourage you to undertake a thesis, or do research within OS Group / NICTA.



Prerequisites

- Students are expected to be very competent C programmers.
- Students are expected to be familiar with
 - basic computer architecture concepts.
 - Assembly language (read-only)
 - Basic RISC processor characteristics (we'll use a MIPS R4600 for the project)



Lectures

(subject to change)

- Introduction and Overview
- Introduction to the L4 Microkernel
 - L4 system calls and usage (to get you started on the project)
- A close look at selected OS issues
 - Protection, capabilities
 - Caching, and its implications for OS
 - Page tables for wide address spaces
 - SMP issues: locking, cache coherence, scheduling
 - File systems



Lectures

(subject to change)

- Microkernels and User-level Servers
 - History and motivation for microkernel systems, Hydra, Mach, discussion, experiences; second-generation microkernel systems, L4, Exokernel, Spin; design and implementation of microkernel-based systems, including user-level page fault handling and device drivers
- Microkernel Implementation
 - Detailed look at a real microkernel (L4Ka::Pistachio).
- Persistent and single-address-space systems
- OS Projects at UNSW/NICTA
 - Gelato@UNSW, NICTA Embedded OS, Mungi



Project/Lab Work

- Build a simple operating system (SOS) from the ground up
- Major component of the course
- Use L4Ka::Pistachio
 - ported to MIPS here by Carl van Schaik
- Develop and test on U4600 computers
 - R4600 based machines design and built by Kevin Elphinstone and Dave Johnson
 - “Clean” machine to get your hands dirty
- Can also use CPU simulator Sulima
 - Developed locally
 - Demos must be on real hardware



Project

- Some warm-up experiments
- Students will work in groups of two
- End goal:
 - To produce a small efficient operating system
- Project will have a series of due milestones
 - Demo to pass the milestone and be awarded marks
 - Help you manage your time
 - Avoid major problems



Milestones

- Details on class web site
- Late milestones:
 - Max 1 week late: 25% of milestone mark lost
 - 1-2 weeks late: 50% of milestone mark lost
 - More than two weeks: all marks lost
- Bonus task
 - Much pain for little gain ;-)
 - Don't go overboard
 - Full mark doesn't *require* bonus!



Alternative Projects

- Special arrangements might be made for particular student to do alternative projects
 - Must be at least as challenging as the original project
 - Must have some relevance to you or us.
 - Must convince us that you can actually do it.
 - Unable to get bonus marks



Assessment

- 65% for project work
- 35% for final exam
 - A minimum of 14 (40%) required in final exam to pass
- Final Exam
 - 24hr take-home exam
 - Read and analyse two recent research papers and submit a critical report



Textbook



- No particular textbook for course
 - See course web page for useful reference books
- Selected research papers referred to in the course
- Manuals provided in hardcopy and via class web site



L4 and Microkernels

Background






Monolithic Kernels - Advantages

- Kernel has access to everything, potentially:
 - All optimisations are possible
 - All techniques/mechanisms/concepts are implementable
- Can be extended by simply adding more code to the kernel



Linux Kernel Evolution



For reference:





Linux 2.4.18 = 2.7
million lines of code



Approaches to tackling complexity

- Monolithic approaches
 - Layered Kernels
 - Modular Kernels
 - Object Oriented Kernels
- Alternatives
 - Extensible Kernels
 - Microkernels





History

- monolithic kernels



History

- monolithic kernels

- 1st- generation μ -kernels

- Mach *CMU, OSF* **External Pager**
- Chorus *Inria, Chorus*
- Amoeba *Vrije Universiteit*
- (L3) *GMD* **User-Level Driver**



Brief History

- monolithic kernels

- 1st- generation μ -kernels


- Mach *CMU, OSF* **External Pager**
- Chorus *Inria, Chorus*
- Amoeba *Vrije Universiteit*
- (L3) *GMD* **User-Level Driver**

- 2nd- generation μ -kernels


- (Spin) *U Washington*
- Exokernel *MIT*
- L4 *GMD / IBM / UKa* **User-Level Address Space**




classic +




thin



specialized



Project



The Great Promise

- **coexistence of different**
 - **APIs**
 - **file systems**
 - **OS personalities**
- **flexibility**
- **extensibility**
- **simplicity**
- **maintainability**
- **security**
- **safety**



The Great Promise *The Big Disaster*

- **coexistence of different**
 - APIs
 - file systems
 - OS personalities
 - flexibility
 - extensibility
 - simplicity
 - maintainability
 - security
 - safety
- ***SLOW***
 - ***UNFLEXIBLE***
 - ***LARGE***



Macro




μ




THE UNIVERSITY OF
NEW SOUTH WALES

Macro



μ



The 100- μ s Disaster




The 100- μ s Disaster



25 MHz 386 → 50 MHz 486 → 90 MHz Pentium → 133 MHz Alpha




IPC Costs (486, 50 MHz)



IPC Costs (486, 50 MHz)




L4 IPC



Thesis:

- A μ -Kernel does the Job
 - if Properly Designed
 - if Carefully Implemented




When analyzing IPC
performance,

Cycles are not the only the to
consider!!



Processor-DRAM Gap (latency)




Today's Situation: Microprocessor

- Microprocessor-DRAM performance gap
 - time of a full cache miss in instructions executed
 - 1st Alpha (7000): $340 \text{ ns} / 5.0 \text{ ns} = 68 \text{ clks} \times 2$ or 136
 - 2nd Alpha (8400): $266 \text{ ns} / 3.3 \text{ ns} = 80 \text{ clks} \times 4$ or 320
 - 3rd Alpha (t.b.d.): $180 \text{ ns} / 1.7 \text{ ns} = 108 \text{ clks} \times 6$ or 648
 - $1/2X$ latency \times $3X$ clock rate \times $3X$ Instr/clock $\Rightarrow \approx 5X$




Cache Working Sets



L1 cache

- 1024 cache lines (16K + 16K)
- 12 lines used for IPC



L2 cache


- 8192 cache lines (256K)
- 12 lines used for IPC



Other Complications

- P4 trace cache
 - A cache of recently translated μ -ops
 - Flushed on every page-table switch
- Virtual Caches
 - Need to be flushed on address space switch





A μ -kernel does no real work.

μ -Kernel services are only required to overcome μ -kernel constraints.


Therefore, μ -kernels have to be infinitely fast!

Minimality is the key!


- **Threads** *IPC*
- **Address Spaces** *Mapping*



Threads, Communication





Drivers at User Level



- **IO ports: part of the user address space**
- **interrupts: messages from hardware**





Address Spaces





Address Spaces

- **map**





Address Spaces

- `map`
- `unmap`




Address Spaces




- map
- unmap
- **grant**



Page Fault Handling




Page Fault Handling




Address Spaces




Address Spaces




Address Spaces




Address Spaces



Address Spaces



Address Spaces



Mach Virtual Memory In comparison

Application

Application

Application

External Page

Inflexible


Mach

Physical Memory


Paging Policy




Size Comparison



classic +



thin



specialized

