



myExperience Report

Semester 2, 2017

Faculty: Faculty of Engineering

School: School of Computer Sci & Eng

Course: COMP9242 Advanced Operating Systems (Aggregate)

Evaluation period: Oct 9 2017 12:00AM - Nov 2 2017 12:00AM

Course Report (Aggregate)

Response Reliability Assessment

With 8 responses from a survey population of 24 the data presented in this report is considered to be **Insufficient**

Number of responses needed to be considered sufficient: 11

Number of responses needed to be considered good: 19

Comparison of results for "Overall I was satisfied with the quality of the course"

This course: COMP9242 Advanced Operating Systems (Aggregate)

Statistics	Value
Response Count	8
Mean	5.75
% Agreement	100.0%


School of Computer Sci & Eng

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.55
% Agreement	82.6%

Faculty of Engineering

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.64
% Agreement	84.4%


Overall I was satisfied with the quality of the course



Statistics	Value
Mean	5.75
% Agreement	100.0%


Course Questions

1. I felt part of a learning community




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.75
% Agreement	100.0%

2. The feedback helped me learn




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.88
% Agreement	100.0%

3. The digital resources helped me learn




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.75
% Agreement	100.0%

4. The assessment tasks were appropriate




Statistics	Value
Mean	6.00
% Agreement	100.0%

5. The amount of assessment was appropriate



Statistics	Value
Mean	5.88
% Agreement	100.0%

6. Overall I was satisfied with the quality of the teaching




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.88
% Agreement	100.0%

Comparison Statistics

Mean (average student responses between 1 and 6) and StandardDev (Standard deviation of student responses) are used for comparison statistics between Course, School, Faculty and University.




5. The amount of assessment was appropriate




Overall I was satisfied with the quality of the teaching

StandardDev



Overall I was satisfied with the quality of the course

StandardDev



Raw Comment Data

What were the best things about this course?

Comments
in depth exploration of topics
Getting to build a system from scratch.
interesting practical challenges and theory
Challenging project, great sense of satisfaction from completing it.
Topics were great, gave further insights into the intricacies of operating systems.
This is an excellent course. The lectures were informative, the project was challenging and rewarding in equal measure.
Very good project that forces you to learn. Interesting and engaging lectures
The weekly milestone format of the project made it satisfying to work on and easy to keep up.

What could be improved?

Comments
nil
A single setback in an early milestone can set back future milestones for several additional weeks as you work to catch up, even when, if the problem hadn't happened, you would have been on schedule.
I'm not sure if this is intended, or worth fixing, as the current assessment structure seems mostly fair.
A bit better documentation on the libraries provided (especially when they are missing parts that are supposed to implement thread safety).
Whilst most of the tutors where very helpful a few good probably do with a bit more depth of knowledge.
J-Tag debugging
More debugging tools would have made life a lot easier now and then (if an improvement there would be possible).