#### **Blockchain Tech**

UNSW COMP9243 18s1 Michael Sproul





## Warning

Blockchain is all the rage, but...

- It's **very easy** to lose money (volatility, scams)
- The technology is still immature
- You may become obsessed ;)

#### Overview

- Bitcoin
- Proof of Work
- Hard Forks vs Soft Forks
- Ethereum and Smart Contracts
- Proof of Stake

### **Bitcoin – Motivation**

- Electronic cash
- Anonymous
- No middlemen or *centralised* control
- Deflationary monetary policy
- Satoshi Nakamoto 2008: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System

#### **Bitcoin – Implementation**

- Shared **ledger** storing account balances
- Accounts identified by public keys
- **Peer-to-peer** network (decentralised)
- Finite supply: 21 million BTC total
- Open source software



#### **Blockchain Basics**

Account Balances

A: 1.0 BTC
B: 0.0 BTC
C: 5.1 BTC

A: 0.6 BTC B: 0.5 BTC C: 5.0 BTC

A: 0.6 BTC
B: 0.3 BTC
C: 5.3 BTC

**Blockchain State** 



#### **Blockchain Basics**

- Block = bundle of transactions + metadata
- Blockchain = list of blocks, chained together by hash pointers
- Each block includes the SHA256 hash of the previous block – impossible to change an earlier block without invalidating all subsequent blocks

#### **The Bitcoin Network**



## The Bitcoin Network

- Obtain initial peers manually or via DNS
- Exchange knowledge of peers with other peers
- Maintain TCP/IP connections to reliable peers
- Broadcast transactions and blocks to all connected peers

#### **Bitcoin Transactions**

- New coins are created in special coinbase transactions that are paid to miners
- All other transactions refer to previous transactions as *inputs*, and specify recipients as *outputs*
- Transactions specify the conditions under which their outputs can be spent using a simple programming language: **Bitcoin Script**

### **Example Transaction**

#### Wolfgang pays 0.5 BTC to Felix

#### Inputs

0:

**Previous txn:** <hash of previous txn where Wolfgang received 0.5 BTC> **Index:** <which of the previous outputs Wolfgang wants to spend, e.g. #0> **scriptSig:** <Wolfgang's signature> <Wolfgang's public key>

#### Outputs

0:

Value: 50,000,000 (0.5 x 10<sup>8</sup> satoshis) scriptPubKey: OP\_DUP OP\_HASH160 <Felix's Bitcoin address> OP\_EQUALVERIFY OP\_CHECKSIG

Signatures prevent anyone except the owner of the coins from spending them

#### Consensus

- A blockchain is only secure so long as *everyone* agrees on the same chain (and thus transactions)
- Without consensus, malicious users could doublespend their coins by sending different transactions to different users



Init Balance: 0 BTC Final Balance: 1 BTC?

Both transactions cannot be accepted!

#### Consensus

 Consensus is achieved by all nodes in the network running the same consensus algorithm to decide on the state of the blockchain

#### Proof of Work Consensus

- Miners solve computational puzzles
- Solving a puzzle grants a miner the right to add a new block to the head of the chain (and claim a block reward)
- The difficulty of the puzzles automatically adjusts to the rate at which puzzles are solved by the miners, so that the average time between puzzle solutions remains constant

#### Proof of Work Consensus

- Miners must compete with each other to solve the puzzles, and this competition prevents any single entity from controlling the chain
- In any case where two chains conflict, the longest chain is taken to be valid



## **Bitcoin's PoW**

 Puzzle: find an arbitrary nonce to include in your block so that the double SHA256 hash of the block is *less than* a target value

SHA256(SHA256(block)) = 0000e12a... < 0000fffff...

 Difficulty: adjust the target every 2016 blocks so that the average block time moves closer to 10 minutes

## **Economic Security**

- Once a transaction is buried several blocks deep, it becomes very expensive to revert. An attacker would have to mine a new chain starting from before the transaction occurred, and outrun the main chain ("51% attack")
- Miners spend (and are paid) millions of dollars per day to secure the network (\$10-50M USD/day)
- 6 confirmations  $\approx$  \$825,000 (6 \* 12.5 \* \$11000)

## Attacks on PoW

Several (difficult) ways to **double-spend**:

- 51% attack: mine an alternative history.
  - Requires a huge **budget**, but **cost** is minimal if the attack is successful (paid via block rewards)
- Network attack: partition your target from the rest of the network and present them with an alternative history, or censor their transactions

## Mining

- Economies of scale make large-scale mining enterprises much more profitable than small ones
- Lifecycle for a PoW coin: CPUs -> GPUs -> ASICs
- Result is mining centralisation (!) around a handful of companies



Percentage of Bitcoin blocks mined by different pools around 28/05/2018, source: blockchain.info

## **Energy Efficiency**

- Miners can (must) spend a large portion of their mining revenue on electricity to run their ASICs
- Therefore, energy usage follows USD price (!!)
- Bitcoin uses 6-60 TWh of electricity per year, which is somewhere between Ethiopia (6.7 TWh) and Switzerland (58 TWh)
- Energy use mitigated by time and money required to manufacture and deploy new ASICs

## What About Upgrades?

- Recall: all nodes in the network run the same validation and consensus logic
- Botched upgrades can cause failures, so use a flag day release a new version of the software that runs the upgraded code once an agreed upon block number is reached
- What if some node operators refuse to upgrade?

### Hard Forks vs Soft Forks

- **Soft fork:** *Restricts* the set of valid blocks. Backwards-compatible with old nodes that don't upgrade. Example: *decreasing* max block size
- Hard fork: *Expands* the set of valid blocks. *Not* backwards-compatible with old nodes. Example: *increasing* max block size
- **Chain split:** When the chain splits permanently, which can happen with either a minority (<50%) soft fork, or non-unanimous (<100%) hard fork

## Soft Fork, No Split

Majority of miners follow the new rules, so the new chain wins



## Soft Fork, Split

Majority of miners don't upgrade, upgraded nodes split onto a weaker chain



## Hard Fork, No Split

All nodes and miners upgrade



## Hard Fork, Split

Some nodes don't upgrade and continue the original chain



### **Fork Politics**

- Bitcoin is quite "fork-conservative". Bitcoin has only ever upgraded via soft forks (e.g. SegWit)
- Bitcoin hard forks have happened, but mostly for the creation of new derivative coins, e.g. Bitcoin Cash, Bitcoin Gold
- Ethereum has experienced hard forks that split the chain (Ethereum Classic), and hard forks that don't (Byzantium)
- Forks are POLITICAL. Different people have different ideas about how blockchain networks should operate

## **Bitcoin's Strengths**

- Fault-Tolerant (no single point of failure)
- Censorship Resistant (infeasible to stop a txn)
- Simple and Stable (compared to competition)

## Bitcoin's Weaknesses

- Generality (blockchains can do more than money)
- Energy Inefficiency (PoW is wasteful)
- Privacy (all data public)
- Scalability (not many transactions/second)

# Other Cryptocurrencies

- Ethereum: improves generality by adding *smart contracts* which can express more complex applications than Bitcoin Script
- Proof of Stake coins: improve energy efficiency by replacing mining with in-protocol rewards and punishments
- **Zcash/Monero**: improve privacy by hiding the details of transactions using sophisticated cryptography

#### Ethereum

- Uses a blockchain to agree on the state of programmable "world computer", the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM)
- Smart Contracts are programs written in EVM bytecode that are stored on the blockchain and executed by all the nodes on the network
- Users can send transactions that create new smart contracts, execute existing ones, or transfer funds

## Ethereum World State

- World state: 160 bit Ethereum address => Account
- Account (or Contract)
  - **balance:** number of Wei owned by this account (1 Ether = 10<sup>18</sup> Wei)
  - storageRoot: hash of the root of a Merkle Patricia Trie for this contract's storage. Storage is itself a map from 256-bit VM addresses to 256-bit values
  - **codeHash:** hash of the VM bytecode for this contract
- World state is also stored in a Merkle Patricia Trie

#### Ethereum Modified Merkle-Paricia-Trie System

An interpretation of the Ethereum Project Yellow Paper G. Wood, "Ethereum: A secure decentralised generalised transaction ledger", 2014



### Ethereum Blocks

- Hash of previous block (just like Bitcoin)
- Root hash of the Merkle trie for all transactions in the block
- Root hash of the Merkle trie for the world state after all transactions have been applied to it

#### **Ethereum Smart Contracts**

- Each contract has access to its own address space mapping 256-bit addresses to 256-bit values
- EVM assembly is a low-level stack-based language. Instructions include: PUSH, POP, JUMP, MLOAD, MSTORE, ADD, SSTORE, SLOAD
- Each instruction has a gas cost that must be paid to execute it. This compensates miners, and ensures that every transaction terminates
- Most contracts are written in high-level languages that compile down to EVM assembly, e.g. Solidity, Vyper

```
contract MyToken {
    // Map data-structure to store balances
    mapping (address => uint256) public balanceOf;
```

}

```
// Constructor that gives all the tokens to the contract creator
constructor(uint256 initialSupply) public {
    balanceOf[msg.sender] = initialSupply;
}
```

```
// User-invocable function to transfer tokens
function transfer(address _to, uint256 _value) public {
    // Check that the sender has sufficient balance
    require(balanceOf[msg.sender] >= _value);
    // Check that the receiver's balance won't overflow
    require(balanceOf[_to] + _value >= balanceOf[_to]);
    // Update the balanceOf data structure
    balanceOf[msg.sender] -= _value;
    balanceOf[_to] += _value;
```

## **Ethereum DApps**

- Ethereum Name Service: decentralised DNS replacement. I registered comp9243.eth
- Lots of Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs/tokens)
- Decentralised Exchanges (e.g. EtherDelta)
- Identity Management (e.g. uPort)
- Games (e.g. CryptoKitties)

### Proof of Stake

- Recall: proof of work mining consumes massive amounts of electricity
- What if we could achieve similar security by using some game theory instead?
- Ethereum is planning to switch to Proof of Stake as soon as possible

## **Proof of Stake Basics**

- Miners replaced by **stakers**
- Instead of buying ASICs, stakers lock funds in security deposits
- Stakers **vote** on blocks to be added to the chain, as in a traditional Byzantine Fault Tolerant consensus algorithm
- Stakers are rewarded with new coins for casting valid votes
- Stakers have their deposit seized if they misbehave

# Slashing Conditions

- We only want one block at a given height, so we slash a staker's deposit if they vote on two conflicting blocks at the same height
- We require >2/3 of stakers by weight to vote for a block for it to be considered valid
- Therefore, if two conflicting blocks become valid we know that at least 1/3 of the stakers misbehaved and will lose their deposits

#### Liveness

- What happens if there are two blocks at the same height with 50% of the vote weight each?
- Most protocols use some kind of timeout and allow the stakers to retry
  - Vitalik's Casper uses an epoch number and allows the validators to retry in the next epoch if no block is finalised
  - Still an area of open research! Join the fun!

## **Economic Finality**

- Achieve similar security guarantee to a PoW blockchain by requiring 1/3 of total deposits to be some large amount (e.g. **\$50M USD**)
- Recall that the *cost* of a 51% attack on PoW is quite low: PoS security can be **stronger** than PoW because malicious actors are **actually punished**





3. With nothing to lose, collude to forge an attack chain



## Short-range Attacks

- Once a staker stops staking, their security deposit is still **locked** and can't be withdrawn for a reasonably long time, e.g. a month
- Prevents short-range attacks where an attacker sells all their coins and then creates an alternative history in the recent past that they can't be punished for

## Long-range Attacks

What if an attacker just attacks as soon as their deposit is unlocked?

## Long-range Attacks

1. Cease to be a staker

2. Wait a month for your deposit to unlock

3. Sell your deposit



4. Forge attack chain from >1 month ago, with nothing to lose

## Long-range Attacks

- What if an attacker just attacks as soon as their deposit is unlocked?
- Idea: never revert blocks older than some large duration of time (e.g. 1 week)
- Intuition: the network should have plenty of time to propagate messages and come to consensus over a week – any changes after that are likely to be malicious long-range attacks

## Weak Subjectivity

- Objectivity: PoW chain contains intrinsic proof of the work required to create it
- Weak Subjectivity: PoS chains are trivial to fabricate with the right keys, need to rely on external knowledge to choose a canonical version
- In practice: PoS clients that log on infrequently need to get a checkpoint from a trusted source

## Summary

- Blockchains solve the decentralised consensus problem, allowing parties who don't trust each other to agree on a single transaction history (no doublespends)
- Proof of work is a blockchain consensus mechanism that relies on the difficulty of obtaining more computational power than the rest of the network
- Proof of stake attempts to improve the energy-efficiency of PoW by replacing mining with in-protocol rewards and penalties

## Summary

- Upgrading a blockchain network is hard! Soft forks are slightly easier to pull off than hard forks, both can cause permanent chain splits
- Smart contracts are programs that run on blockchain networks, and use the blockchain to store their state
- There's lots of work to do! What could you build?

#### **Questions?**

#### Learn More

- Bitcoin: <u>https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf</u>
- Bitcoin Block Explorer: <u>https://blockchain.info</u>
- Bitcoin Wiki: <u>https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Main\_Page</u>
- Bitcoin Source: <u>https://github.com/bitcoin/bitcoin</u>
- BIPs: <u>https://github.com/bitcoin/bips</u>
- Bitcoin Energy Consumption: <u>https://digiconomist.net/bitcoin-energy-consumption</u>
- Ethereum: <u>https://ethereum.github.io/yellowpaper/paper.pdf</u>
- Ethereum Block Explorer: <u>https://etherscan.io/</u>

#### Learn More

- Ethereum Research Forum: <u>https://ethresear.ch/</u>
- Go Ethereum Client: <u>https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum</u>
- Solidity Contracts: <u>https://solidity.readthedocs.io/</u>
- Solidity Gas Golfing Competition: <u>https://g.solidity.cc/</u>
- Crypto Twitter: <u>https://twitter.com/search?q=cryptocurrency</u>
- Vitalik's Casper PoS: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.09437</u>
- Correct-by-construction Casper PoS: <u>https://github.com/ethereum/</u> research/blob/master/papers/CasperTFG/CasperTFG.pdf
- Ouroboros PoS: <u>https://eprint.iacr.org/2016/889</u>

## Image Credits

- Bitcoin Logo: <u>https://en.bitcoin.it/wiki/Promotional\_graphics</u>
- Ethereum Logo: <u>https://www.ethereum.org/assets</u>
- Decentralised vs centralised: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/</u> <u>File:Decentralization\_diagram.svg</u>
- Txn icon: <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/</u> <u>File:Document\_icon\_(the\_Noun\_Project\_27904).svg</u>
- Mining distribution: <u>https://blockchain.info/pools</u>
- Merkle trie: <u>https://ethereum.stackexchange.com/questions/</u> 6415/eli5-how-does-a-merkle-patricia-trie-tree-work