Distributed Systems (COMP9243) Session 1, 2018



lhor Kuz cs9243@cse.unsw.edu.au

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS (COMP9243)

Lecture 1: Introduction

- ① Distributed Systems what and why
- ② Hardware and Software
- 3 Goals
- ④ Overview principles and paradigms
- 5 Course details
- 6 Erlang

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

What is a distributed system?

→ Andrew Tannenbaum defines it as follows:

A distributed system is a collection of independent computers that appear to its users as a single coherent system.

- → Is there any such system? Hardly! Kind of
- → We will learn about the challenges in building "true" distributed systems

For the time being, we go by a weaker definition of distributed system:

A distributed system is a collection of independent computers that are used jointly to perform a single task or to provide a single service.

Examples of distributed systems

- → Cray XK7 & CLE (massive multiprocessor)
- → Distributed file system on a LAN
- → Domain Name Service (DNS)
- Collection of Web servers: distributed database of hypertext and multimedia documents

Find more examples of distributed systems:

Remember

A distributed system is a collection of independent computers that are used jointly to perform a single task or to provide a single service.

What's the difference between a distributed application and distributed system?

INTERDEPENDENCE OF DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS



THE ADVANTAGES OF DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

What are economic and technical reasons for having distributed systems?

Cost. Better price/performance as long as commodity hardware is used for the component computers

Performance. By using the combined processing and storage capacity of many nodes, performance levels can be reached that are out of the scope of centralised machines

Scalability. Resources such as processing and storage capacity can be increased incrementally

Reliability. By having redundant components, the impact of hardware and software faults on users can be reduced

Inherent distribution. Some applications like the Web are naturally distributed

THE DISADVANTAGES OF DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

What problems are there in the use and development of distributed systems?

- **New component: network.** Networks are needed to connect independent nodes, are subject to performance limits
- **Software complexity.** Distributed software is more complex and harder to develop than conventional software; hence, it is more expensive and harder to get right
- Failure. More elements that can fail, and the failure must be dealt with
- Security. Easier to compromise distributed systems
 - Distributed systems are hard to build and understand
 - ➤ this course is going to be very challenging!

HARDWARE ARCHITECTURE

Uniprocessor:



- \rightarrow Single processor
- → Direct memory access

Multiprocessor:



Uniform

Nonuniform

- → Multiple processors
- → Direct memory access
 - Uniform memory access (e.g., SMP, multicore)
 - Nonuniform memory access (e.g., NUMA)

Multicomputer:



- → Multiple computers
- → No direct memory access
- → Network
- → Homogeneous vs. Heterogeneous

SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE

Uniprocessor OS:

Machine A



Multiprocessor OS:



Similar to a uniprocessor OS but:

- → Kernel designed to handle multiple CPUs
- → Number of CPUs is transparent
- → Communication uses same primitives as uniprocessor OS
- → Single system image

What's the limitation here?

Network OS:



Properties:

- → No single system image. Individual nodes are highly autonomous
- \rightarrow All distribution of tasks is explicit to the user
- → Examples: Linux, Windows

What's the challenge with this approach?

Distributed OS:



Properties:

- → High degree of transparency
- → Single system image (FS, process, devices, etc.)
- → Homogeneous hardware
- → Examples: Amoeba, Plan 9, Chorus, Mungi

Are there any problems with this approach?

Middleware:



- → System independent interface for distributed programming
- → Improves transparency (e.g., hides heterogeneity)
- → Provides services (e.g., naming service, transactions, etc.)
- → Provides programming model (e.g., distributed objects)

Why is Middleware 'Winning'?:

- Builds on commonly available abstractions of network OSes (processes and message passing)
- → Examples: RPC, NFS, CORBA, MQSeries, SOAP, REST, MapReduce
- Also languages (or language modifications) specially designed for distributed computing
- → Examples: Erlang, Ada, Limbo, Go, etc.
- ✓ Usually runs in user space
- ☑ Raises level of abstraction for programming ➤ less error-prone
- Independence from OS, network protocol, programming language, etc. >> Flexibility
- **x** Feature dump and bloated interfaces

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS AND PARALLEL COMPUTING

- Parallel computing: improve performance by using multiple processors per application
- \rightarrow There are two flavours:
 - 1. Shared-memory systems:
 - Multiprocessor (multiple processors share a single bus and memory unit)
 - SMP support in OS
 - Much simpler than distributed systems
 - Limited scalability
 - 2. Distributed memory systems:
 - Multicomputer (multiple nodes connected via a network)
 - These are a form of distributed systems
 - Share many of the challenges discussed here
 - Better scalability & cheaper

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS IN CONTEXT

Networking:

- \rightarrow Network protocols, routing protocols, etc.
- → Distributed Systems: make use of networks

Operating Systems:

- → Resource management for single systems
- → Distributed Systems: management of distributed resources

This Course:

- → Generalised solutions to distributed systems problems and challenges
- → Infrastructure software to help build distributed applications

BASIC GOALS OF DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

We want distributed systems to have the following properties:

- \rightarrow Transparency
- → Dependability
- → Scalability
- → Performance
- → Flexibility

This course will examine approaches and techniques for designing and building distributed systems that achieve these goals.

TRANSPARENCY

Concealment of the separation of the components of a distributed system (single image view).

There are a number of forms of transparency

Access: Local and remote resources accessed in same way

Location: Users unaware of location of resources

Migration: Resources can migrate without name change

Replication: Users unaware of existence of multiple copies

Failure: Users unaware of the failure of individual components

Concurrency: Users unaware of sharing resources with others

Is transparency always desirable? Is it always possible?

DEPENDABILITY

→ Dependability of distributed systems is a double-edged sword:

- Distributed systems promise higher availability:
 - Replication
- But availability may degrade:
 - More components >> more potential points of failure
- → Dependability requires consistency, security, and fault tolerance

SCALABILITY

A system is said to be scalable if it can handle the addition of users and resources without suffering a noticeable loss of performance or increase in administrative complexity (B. Clifford Neuman)

Scale has three dimensions:

Size: number of users and resources (problem: overloading)

Geography: distance between users and resources (problem: communication)

Administration: number of organisations that exert administrative control over parts of the system (problem: administrative mess)

Note:

- → Scalability often conflicts with (small system) performance
- → Claim of scalability is often abused

Scaling Up or Out?

Vertical Scaling: Scaling UP Increasing the resources of a single machine

Horizontal Scaling: Scaling OUT Adding more machines

Techniques for scaling:

- → Hiding communication latencies (asynchronous communication, reduce communication)
- → Distribution (spreading data and control around)
- → Replication (making copies of data and processes)
- \rightarrow Decentralisation

Decentralisation

Avoid centralising:

- → Services (e.g., single server)
- → Data (e.g., central directories)
- → Algorithms (e.g., based on complete information).

With regards to algorithms:

- → Do not require machine to hold complete system state Why?
- → Allow nodes to make decisions based on local info Why?
- → Algorithms must survive failure of nodes Why?
- ➔ No assumption of a global clock Why?

Decentralisation is hard

PERFORMANCE

- → Any system should strive for maximum performance
- → In distributed systems, performance directly conflicts with some other desirable properties
 - Transparency
 - Security
 - Dependability
 - Scalability

How?

NUMBERS EVERY PROGRAMMER SHOULD KNOW

L1 cache reference $\dots \dots \dots$	ns
Branch mispredict 5	ns
L2 cache reference 7	ns
Mutex lock/unlock 25	ns
Main memory reference 100	ns
Compress 1K bytes with Zippy 3,000	ns = 3 us
Send 2K bytes over 1 Gbps network 20,000	ns = 20 us
Read 1 MB sequentially from memory 250,000	ns = 250 us
Round trip within same datacenter 500,000	ns = 0.5 ms
Disk seek 10,000,000	ns = 10 ms
Read 1 MB sequentially from disk . 20,000,000	ns = 20 ms
Send packet CA->Netherlands->CA . 150,000,000	ns = 150 ms

(from Peter Norvig, Jeff Dean, see also http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/~rcs/research/interactive_latency.html)

FLEXIBILITY

- → Build a system out of (only) required components
- → Extensibility: Components/services can be changed or added
- → Openness of interfaces and specification
 - allows reimplementation and extension
- → Interoperability
- ightarrow Separation of policy and mechanism
 - standardised internal interfaces

COMMON MISTAKES

False assumptions commonly made:

- ① Reliable network
- ② Zero latency
- ③ Infinite bandwidth
- ④ Secure network
- 5 Topology does not change
- 6 One administrator
- $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}}$ Zero transport cost
- ⑧ Everything is homogeneous

PRINCIPLES

Several key principles underlying the functioning of all distributed systems

- → System Architecture
- \rightarrow Communication
- → Partitioning, Replication and Consistency
- → Synchronisation & Coordination
- → Naming
- → Fault Tolerance
- → Security

Discussion of these principles will form the core content of the course

PARADIGMS

Most distributed systems are built based on a particular paradigm (or model)

- → Shared memory
- → Distributed objects
- → Distributed file system
- → Distributed coordination
- → Service Oriented Architecture and Web Services
- → Distributed Database
- → Shared documents
- → Agents

This course will cover the first five in detail.

MISCELLANEOUS 'RULES OF THUMB'

Trade-offs Many of the challenges provide conflicting requirements. For example better scalability can cause worse overall performance. Have to make trade-offs - what is more important?

- Separation of Concerns Split a problem into individual concerns and address each separately
- **End-to-End Argument** Some communication functions can only be reliably implemented at the application level
- **Policy vs. Mechanism** A system should build mechanisms that allow flexible application of policies. Avoid built-in policies.

Keep It Simple, Stupid make things as simple as possible, but no simpler.

READING LIST

End-to-end Arguments in System Design A classic paper

arguing the end-to-end argument with excellent examples.

A Note on Distributed Computing Another classic paper showing the dangers of too much transparency in RPC-based distributed systems.

Fallacies of Distributed Computing Explained A good explanation of the 8 common mistakes made by

architects and designers of distributed systems.

Scale in Distributed Systems A really good paper to read if you are interested in understanding more about scalability in distributed systems.

OVERVIEW OF COURSE

- ① Introduction and Erlang
- ② System Architecture and Communication
- ③ Replication and Consistency, Distributed Shared Memory
- ④ Synchronisation and Coordination
- **5** Dependability and Fault Tolerance
- 6 Naming
- ⑦ Distributed File Systems
- ⑧ Middleware, Distributed Objects, Publish/Subscribe, SOA, Web Services
- O Cloud Computing
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
 O
- ③ Security

Extras:

- ① Distributed Systems in Practice
- ② Parallel Programming and Clusters
- ③ Research and Other Topics

PRACTICAL COURSE DETAILS

→ Course Outline Page

http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~cs9243/outline.html

- → Papers: classic and research: some mandatory, some optional
- → Homework/Exercises: Familiarisation, DS programming
- \rightarrow Assignments: 3 assignments. 100 marks total.
- → Exam: Open book exam, 100 marks
- → Final Mark:
 - weighted average: exam mark (60%) and total assignment mark (40%).
 - Exam mark must be at least 50% of maximum possible exam mark.

Note:

Difficult course. Lots of work. Be prepared. And start the assignments on time!

HOMEWORK

Examples of Distributed Systems:

- → Choose an existing distributed system and
 - ① Research its structure (i.e. what is its internal architecture?)
 - ② Evaluate how it satisfies each of the goals discussed

Hacker's edition:

- \rightarrow For your chosen system:
 - Are there any obvious mistakes in the architecture and design?
 - ② Are there any strange design decisions? Why might they have been made?