### Form B: Large Group Teaching Evaluation - Student Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Engineering</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>2012 Teaching Period - T2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>School of Computer Science</td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course</td>
<td>COMP9242-Advanced Operating Systems</td>
<td>Repondents</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Description</td>
<td>Evaluate Gernot Alexander Heiser as a Lecturer in Course COMP9242</td>
<td>Survey Type</td>
<td>ONLINE (11 Oct 2012 - 25 Oct 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Alternative</td>
<td>Evaluate Gernot Alexander Heiser as a Lecturer in Course COMP9242</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>26 Oct 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### The best features of this lecturer’s teaching were

- The lecturer knows things in detail, even an instruction or model changing costs how many CPU cycles.
- Clear, interactive, organised
- Excellent lectures
- Motivating, clear and easy to understand. Very interested in his teaching and the material.
- Experienced.
- Knowledgeable; approachable
- The lectures were diverse and interesting
- Makes a serious effort to engage the class, which makes the lectures much more interesting. Also has a very direct style.
- Being taught by someone as prestigious (maybe the wrong word?) in the OS field was great, and even though the content felt complex when he taught, it felt at the right level because of that.

#### This lecturer’s teaching could be improved by

- Could have more materias on CPU architectures.
- NA
- Too busy.
- N/A :)
- Not sure.