



## COMP4161 Advanced Topics in Software Verification



Gerwin Klein, Miki Tanaka, Johannes Åman Pohjola, Robert Sison T3/2023

#### Last time...

- **→** Simply typed lambda calculus:  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$
- $\rightarrow$  Typing rules for  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$ , type variables, type contexts
- $\rightarrow$   $\beta$ -reduction in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  satisfies subject reduction
- $\rightarrow$   $\beta$ -reduction in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  always terminates
- → Types and terms in Isabelle

#### Content

[1,2]
$[2,3^a]$
[3,4]
[4,5]
[5,7]
$[7^{b}]$
[8]
[8,9]
[9,10]
[10 <sup>c</sup> ]

<sup>a</sup>a1 due; <sup>b</sup>a2 due; <sup>c</sup>a3 due

# Preview: Proofs in Isabelle

#### **Proofs in Isabelle**

#### **General schema:**

```
lemma name: "<goal>"
apply <method>
apply <method>
...
done
```

→ Sequential application of methods until all **subgoals** are solved.

#### The Proof State

```
1. \bigwedge x_1 \dots x_p . \llbracket A_1; \dots; A_n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow B
2. \bigwedge y_1 \dots y_q . \llbracket C_1; \dots; C_m \rrbracket \Longrightarrow D
```

 $x_1 \dots x_p$  Parameters  $A_1 \dots A_n$  Local assumptions B Actual (sub)goal

#### Isabelle Theories

#### Syntax:

```
theory MyTh imports ImpTh_1 \dots ImpTh_n begin (declarations, definitions, theorems, proofs, ...)* end
```

- → *MyTh*: name of theory. Must live in file *MyTh*.thy
- → *ImpTh*<sub>i</sub>: name of *imported* theories. Import transitive.

Unless you need something special:

```
theory MyTh imports Main begin ... end
```

#### **Natural Deduction Rules**

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \land B} \text{ conjI} \qquad \frac{A \land B \quad \llbracket A; B \rrbracket \implies C}{C} \text{ conjE}$$

$$\frac{A}{A \lor B} \quad \frac{B}{A \lor B} \text{ disjI1/2} \qquad \frac{A \lor B \quad A \implies C \quad B \implies C}{C} \text{ disjE}$$

$$\frac{A \implies B}{A \implies B} \text{ impl} \qquad \frac{A \longrightarrow B \quad A \quad B \implies C}{C} \text{ impE}$$

For each connective  $(\land, \lor, \text{ etc})$ : introduction and elimination rules

#### **Proof by assumption**

### apply assumption

#### proves

1. 
$$\llbracket B_1; \ldots; B_m \rrbracket \Longrightarrow C$$

by unifying C with one of the  $B_i$ 

There may be more than one matching  $B_i$  and multiple unifiers.

#### Backtracking!

Explicit backtracking command: back

#### Intro rules

**Intro** rules decompose formulae to the right of  $\Longrightarrow$ .

Intro rule  $[\![A_1;\ldots;A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  means

→ To prove A it suffices to show  $A_1 \dots A_n$ 

Applying rule  $[\![A_1;\ldots;A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  to subgoal C:

- $\rightarrow$  unify A and C
- $\rightarrow$  replace C with n new subgoals  $A_1 \dots A_n$

#### Intro rules: example

To prove subgoal  $A \longrightarrow A$  we can use:  $\frac{P \Longrightarrow Q}{P \longrightarrow Q}$  impl

(in Isabelle:  $impl : (?P \Longrightarrow ?Q) \Longrightarrow ?P \longrightarrow ?Q)$ 

#### Recall:

Applying rule  $[\![A_1;\ldots;A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  to subgoal C:

- $\rightarrow$  unify A and C
- $\rightarrow$  replace C with n new subgoals  $A_1 \dots A_n$

#### Here:

- $\rightarrow$  unify...  $?P \longrightarrow ?Q$  with  $A \longrightarrow A$
- → replace subgoal...  $A \longrightarrow A$  (i.e.  $[\![\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A$ ) with  $[\![\ A\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  (which can be proved with: **apply** assumption)

#### Elim rules

**Elim** rules decompose formulae on the left of  $\Longrightarrow$ .

Elim rule  $[\![A_1;\ldots;A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  means

 $\rightarrow$  If I know  $A_1$  and want to prove A it suffices to show  $A_2 \dots A_n$ 

Applying rule  $[\![A_1;\ldots;A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  to subgoal C: Like **rule** but also

- → unifies first premise of rule with an assumption
- → eliminates that assumption

#### Elim rules: example

(in Isabelle: 
$$conjE$$
 :  $\llbracket ?P \land ?Q; \llbracket ?P; ?Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ?R$ )

#### Recall:

Applying rule  $[\![A_1;\ldots;A_n]\!] \Longrightarrow A$  to subgoal C:

Like rule but also

- → unifies first premise of rule with an assumption
- → eliminates that assumption

#### Here:

- $\rightarrow$  unify... ?R with A
- $\rightarrow$  and also unify...  $?P \land ?Q$  with assumption  $B \land A$
- → replace subgoal...  $\llbracket B \land A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A$  with  $\llbracket B; A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A$  (which can be proved with: **apply** assumption)

## \_\_\_ Demo

**More Proof Rules** 

#### Iff, Negation, True and False

#### **Equality**

$$\frac{s=t}{t=t}$$
 refl  $\frac{s=t}{t=s}$  sym  $\frac{r=s}{r=t}$  trans  $\frac{s=t}{P} \frac{P}{t}$  subst

Rarely needed explicitly — used implicitly by term rewriting

#### Classical

$$\overline{P = \mathit{True} \lor P = \mathit{False}} \quad \text{True-or-False}$$
 
$$\overline{P \lor \neg P} \quad \text{excluded-middle}$$
 
$$\frac{\neg A \Longrightarrow \mathit{False}}{A} \quad \text{ccontr} \qquad \frac{\neg A \Longrightarrow A}{A} \quad \text{classical}$$

- → excluded-middle, ccontr and classical not derivable from the other rules.
- → if we include True-or-False, they are derivable

They make the logic "classical", "non-constructive"

#### **Cases**

$$\overline{P \vee \neg P}$$
 excluded-middle

is a case distinction on type bool

Isabelle can do case distinctions on arbitrary terms:

#### Safe and not so safe

Safe rules preserve provability conjl, impl, notl, iffl, refl, ccontr, classical, conjE, disjE  $\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjl}$ 

Unsafe rules can turn a provable goal into an unprovable one disjl1, disjl2, impE, iffD1, iffD2, notE  $\frac{A}{A \vee B} \text{ disjl1}$ 

Apply safe rules before unsafe ones

## \_\_\_Demo

#### What we have learned so far...

- $\rightarrow$  natural deduction rules for  $\land$ ,  $\lor$ ,  $\longrightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ , iff...
- → proof by assumption, by intro rule, elim rule
- → safe and unsafe rules
- → indent your proofs! (one space per subgoal)
- → prefer implicit backtracking (chaining) or *rule\_tac*, instead of *back*
- → prefer and defer
- → oops and sorry