Slide 1	COMP3152/9152 Lecture 5 Dynamics of Common Knowledge Ron van der Meyden	Slide 3	Assume T is serial: $\forall s \in S_e \exists t \in S_e(sTt)$ A run of an environment E is an <i>infinite</i> sequence $\rho = s_0 s_1 \dots$ of states of E such that 1. $s_0 \in I_e$ , 2. $s_k T s_{k+1}$ for all $k \ge 0$ , A trace of E is a finite sequence $\tau = s_0 \dots s_m$ of states satisfying conditions 1 and 2.
Slide 2	<ul> <li>Environments (transition form)</li> <li>An environment in transition form is a tuple of the form E = ⟨S<sub>e</sub>, I<sub>e</sub>, T, O, π<sub>e</sub>⟩ where</li> <li>1. S<sub>e</sub> is a set of states of the environment.</li> <li>2. I<sub>e</sub> ⊆ S<sub>e</sub>, is the set of <i>initial states</i> of the environment.</li> <li>3. T ⊆ S<sub>e</sub> × S<sub>e</sub> is a transition relation.</li> <li>4. O is a tuple ⟨O<sub>1</sub>,,O<sub>n</sub>⟩ such that for each i = 1n, O<sub>i</sub> : S<sub>e</sub> → O is an observation function O.</li> <li>5. π<sub>e</sub> : S<sub>e</sub> × Prop → {0,1} is a valuation.</li> </ul>	Slide 4	Local state defined wrt a view Let $\rho$ be a run of $E$ . A view associates a local state with each agent at each point of time, determining a mapping $\rho^v : \mathbf{N} \to L^n \times S_e$ In all cases $\rho_e^v(m) = \rho(m)$ Examples: 1. The observational view: $\rho_i^{obs}(m) = O_i(\rho(m)))$ 2. The synchronous perfect recall view: $\rho_i^{spr}(m) = O_i(\rho(0)) \dots O_i(\rho(m))$ 3. The asynchronous perfect recall view: $\rho_i^{pr}(m)$ is $\rho_i^{spr}(m)$ with consecutive repetitions removed.

lide 5	System Generated by an Environment wrt a View Let $v$ be a view of an environment $E$ . Define $\mathcal{I}^v(E) = (\mathcal{R}^v(E), \pi)$ to be the interpreted system with 1. $\mathcal{R}^v(E)$ the set of $\rho^v$ such that $\rho$ is a run of $E$ . 2. $\pi(r(m), p) = \pi_e(r_e(m), p)$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}^v(E), p \in \Phi$	Slide 7	Let $v \in \{\text{obs}, \text{pr}, \text{spr}\}$ <b>Proposition:</b> Suppose $(r, m), (r', m)$ are points of $\mathcal{I}^v(E)$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\{K_1, \dots, K_n, C\}}$ . If $trace(r, m) = trace(r', m')$ then $\mathcal{I}^v(E), (r, m) \models \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{I}^v(E), (r', m') \models \varphi$ . If $\tau$ is a trace of $E$ , write $\mathcal{I}^v(E), \tau \models \varphi$ when $\mathcal{I}^v(E), (r, m) \models \varphi$ for some point $(r, m)$ with $trace(r, m) = \tau$ .
Slide 6	Recall, for each agent <i>i</i> we define the relation $\sim_i$ on points by $(r,m) \sim_i (r',m')$ if $r_i(m) = r'_i(m)$ . Given a point $(r,m)$ of $\mathcal{I}^v(E)$ , define $trace(r,m) = r_e(0) \dots r_e(m)$ . For two traces $\tau$ , $\tau'$ , define $\tau \sim_i \tau'$ if there exist points $(r,m)$ , $(r',m')$ such that $trace(r,m) = \tau$ and $trace(r',m') = \tau'$ and $(r,m) \sim_i (r',m')$ .	Slide 8	<ul> <li>Consider an environment E in which</li> <li>agent s (sender) can send the single message "hello" to agent r (receiver), but can only do this once</li> <li>agent s observes a variable that records whether or not the message has been sent</li> <li>agent r observes a variable that records whether the message has arrived</li> <li>the channel either delivers the message either immediately, or with a delay of one second</li> <li>the proposition p means "the message has arrived"</li> </ul>

Slide 9	$< e:dly, s:send, r:*>$ $< e:*, s:*, r:*>$ $I_e = \{w\}$ $\pi_e(x, p) = \mathbf{true} \text{ iff } x = d.$ $O_s(w) = \bot, \qquad O_s(t) = O_s(d) = sent$ $O_r(w) = O_r(t) = \bot, \qquad O_r(d) = rcvd$	Slide 11	Notation: If $\tau = x_0, \ldots x_k$ is a sequence, then $fin(\tau) = x_n$ is the last element.
ide 10	$\texttt{traces}(E) = \{w^k d^m \mid k > 0, m \ge 0\} \cup \{w^k t d^m \mid k > 0, m \ge 0\}$	Slide 12	Message transmission example (observational view) Suppose agent s sends the message at time 1, and the environment delivers the message immediately, then the agents wait for $n-1$ ticks of the clock, i.e. consider the trace $wd^{n-1}$ Under the observational view, • $wd^{n-1} \sim_r \tau$ implies $fin(\tau) = d$ • $wd^{n-1} \sim_s w^{n-1}t$ Thus $\mathcal{I}^{obs}(E), wd^{n-1} \models K_r p$ but $\mathcal{I}^{obs}(E), wd^{n-1} \models \neg K_s p.$



Given an environment E and view v, define  $M_E^v = \langle \texttt{traces}(E), \sim_1, \ldots, \sim_n, \pi \rangle$  where the  $\sim_i$  are the equivalence relations on traces defined wrt the view and  $\pi(\tau, p) = \pi_e(\texttt{fin}(\tau), p)$ .

## lide 17

lide 18

**Proposition**: For  $\tau \in traces(E)$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\{K_1,\ldots,K_n,C\}}$ ,

 $M_E^v, \tau \models \phi$  iff  $\mathcal{I}^v(E), \tau \models \phi$ 

Model Checking at a Trace (Observational View) Let  $E = \langle S_e, I_e, T, O, \pi_e \rangle$  be a finite state environment. A state  $t \in S_e$  is *reachable* if  $sT^*t$  for some  $s \in I_e$ . Define  $M = \langle W, \mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n, \pi \rangle$  by 1. W is the set of reachable states of E. 2.  $s\mathcal{K}_i t$  iff  $O_i(s) = O_i(t)$ 

3.  $\pi = \pi_e$ 

**Proposition:** For  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\{K_1,...,K_n,C\}}$ , we have  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathsf{obs}}(E), \tau \models \phi$  iff  $M, \texttt{fin}(\tau) \models \phi.$ Slide 19 **Corollary:** For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\{K_1,\ldots,K_n,C\}}$ , determining whether  $\mathcal{I}^{obs}(E), \tau \models \varphi$  can be done in time  $O(|E| \cdot |\varphi|)$ . **Progression Structures** A progression structure for environment E is a pair  $\langle M, \sigma \rangle$  consisting of an S5<sub>n</sub> Kripke structure  $M = \langle W, \mathcal{K}_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}_n, \pi \rangle$  and a *state* mapping  $\sigma: W \to S_e$  such that Slide 20  $\pi(w, p) = \pi_e(\sigma(w), p)$ for all  $w \in W$  and  $p \in Prop$ **Example:**  $P_{E,n} = \langle M_n, \texttt{fin} \rangle$ , where  $M_n$  is the substructure of  $M_E^{\texttt{spr}}$ consisting of the traces of length n

ide 21	If $P = \langle M, \sigma \rangle$ , Write $P, w \models \phi$ if $M, w \models \phi$ .	Slide 23	<b>Proposition:</b> Let $\tau = s_0 \dots s_k$ be a trace of an environment $E$ and let $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\{K_1,\dots,K_n,C\}}$ . Then $\mathcal{I}^{spr}(E), \tau \models \phi$ iff $P_{E,k}, w_\tau \models \phi$ , where $w_\tau = ((\dots (((s_0, s_1), s_2), s_3), \dots, s_k)).$ <b>Proposition:</b> $P_{E,n+1}$ is isomorphic to $P_{E,n} * E$
ide 22	The environment $E$ operates on its progression structures by $\langle M, \sigma \rangle * E = \langle M', \sigma' \rangle$ where $M' = \langle W', \mathcal{K}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{K}'_n, \pi' \rangle$ is the Kripke structure with 1. $W' = \{(w, s) \mid w \in W, s \in S_e, \sigma(w)Ts\}$ 2. $(w, s)\mathcal{K}'_i(v, t)$ iff $w\mathcal{K}_i v$ and $O_i(s) = O_i(t)$ 3. $\pi'((w, s), p) = \pi_e(s)$ 4. $\sigma'((w, s)) = s$	Slide 24	This means we can check $\mathcal{I}^{spr}(E), \tau \models \phi$ as follows: 1. Construct $P_{E,0}$ , 2. For $i = 1 \dots k$ construct $P_{E,k} = P_{E,k-1} * E$ 3. Check $P_{E,k}, w_{\tau} \models \phi$ using finite state model checking.

lide 25	<b>References</b> Constructing finite state implementations of knowledge based programs with perfect recall, R.van der Meyden, PRICAI workshop on theorerical and practical foundations of intelligent agents, 1996