# COMP1927 16x1 Computing 2 

## Complexity

# Problems, Algorithms, Programs and Processes 

- Problem: A problem that needs to be solved
- Algorithm: Well defined instructions for completing the problem
- Program: Implementation of the algorithm in a particular programming language
- Process: An instance of the program as it is being executed on a particular machine


## Analysis of software

- What makes software "good"?
- returns expected result for all valid inputs
- behaves "sensibly" for non-valid inputs
- clear code, easy to maintain/modify
- interface is clear and consistent (API or GUI)
- returns results quickly (even for large inputs) le. It is efficient
- We may sometimes also be interested in other measures
- memory/disk space, network traffic, disk IO etc


## Algorithm Efficiency

- The algorithm is by far the most important determinant of the efficiency of a program
- Small speed ups in terms of operating systems, compilers, computers and implementation details are irrelevant
- May give small speed ups but usually only by a small constant factor


# Determining Algorithm Efficiency 

- At the design stage
- Theoretical approach
- complexity theory
- After the testing stage
- Once it is implemented and correct you can empirically evaluate performance eg using the time command


## Timing

- Note we are not interested in the absolute time it takes to run.
- We are interested in the relative time it takes as the problem increases
- Absolute times differ on different machines and with different languages


## Complexity Theory Example

1. int linearSearch(int a[], int $n$, int key) \{
2. for indexes from 0 to $n-1$
3. if key equals current element array
4. return current index
5. return -1
6.\}

- What is the worst case cost?
- When does this occur?
- How many comparisons between data instances were made?


## Complexity Example

- How many times does each line run in the worst case?

C0: line 2: For loop $n+1$ times
C1: line 3: n comparisons
C2: line 4: 0 times (worst case)
C3: line 5: 1 time (worst case)
Total: $\mathrm{C} 0(\mathrm{n}+1)+\mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{n})+\mathrm{C} 3=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$

- For an unsorted sequence that is the best we can do


## Informal Definition of Big-O Notation

- We express complexity using big-O notation
- Represents the asymptotic worst case (unless stated otherwise) time complexity
- Big-O expressions do not have constants or loworder terms as when n gets larger these do not matter
- For example: For a problem of size n , if the cost of the worst case is
- $1.5 n^{2}+3 n+10$
- in Big-O notation would be $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$


## Big O-notation Formal Definition

The big O-notation is used to classify the work complexity of algorithms

Definition: A function $f(n)$ is said to be in (the set) $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ if there exist constants $c$ and $N_{o}$ such that $f(n)<c{ }^{*} g(n)$ for all $n$ $>N_{o}$


## Empirical Analysis Linear Search

- Use the 'time' command in linux.

Run on different sized inputs time ./prog < input > /dev/null not interested in real-time interested in user-time What is the relationship between

- input size
- time

| Size of <br> input(n) | Time |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100000 |  |
| 1000000 |  |
| 10000000 |  |
| 100000000 |  |

## Predicting Time

- If I know my algorithm is quadratic and I know that it takes 1.2 seconds to run on a data set of size 1000
- Approximately how long would you expect to wait for a data set of size 2000 ?
- What about 10000 ?
- What about 100000 ?
- What about 1000000 ?
- What about 10000000 ?


## Searching in a Sorted Array

- Given an array a of N elements, with a[i] <=a[ff for any pair of indices $i, j$, with ${ }_{i<j<N}$,
- search for an element e in the array

```
int a[N]; // array with N items
int found = 0;
int i = 0;
while ((i < N) && (!found)){
        found = (a[i] == e);
        i++;
    }
```


## Searching in a Sorted Array

- Given an array a of N elements, with a[i] <=a[ff for any pair of indices $i, j$, with ${ }_{i<j<N}$,
- search for an element $e$ in the arrav int a[N]; // array with N items
int found $=0$;
int finished $=0$;
int $\mathrm{i}=0$;
while $((\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{N}) \& \&($ !found $) \& \&(!$ finished $))\{$
found $=(a[i]==e) ; \quad$ exploit the fact that a is sorted
finished $=(\mathrm{e}<\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}])$;
i++;
\}


## Searching in a Sorted Array

- How many steps are required to search an array of $N$ elements

Best case: $T_{N}=1$
Worst case: $T_{N}=N$
Average: $\quad T_{N}=N / 2$

- Still a linear algorithm, like searching in a unsorted array


## Binary Search

- We start in the middle of the array:
- if $a[N / 2]==e$, we found the element and we're done
- and, if necessary, `split' array in half to continue search
- if $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{N} / 2]<\mathrm{e}$, continue search on $\mathrm{a}[0]$ to $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{N} / 2-1]$
- if $a[N / 2]>e$, continue search on $a[N / 2+1]$ to $a[N-1]$
- This algorithm is called binary search.


## Binary Search

See binary.c for implementation

- We maintain two indices, I and $r$, to denote leftmost and rightmost array index of current part of the array
- initially $\mathrm{l}=0$ and $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{N}-1$
- iteration stops when:
- left and right index define an empty array, element not found
- EgI>r
- $a[(1+r) / 2]$ holds the element we're looking for
- if: $a[(1+r) / 2]$ is larger than element, continue search on left a[1]..a[(1+r)/2-1]
else continue search on right $a[(1+r) / 2+1] . . a[r]$


## Binary Search

- How many comparisons do we need for an array of size $N$ ?
- Best case:
- $T_{N}=1$
- Worst case:
- $T_{1}=1$
- $T_{N}=1+T_{N / 2}$
- $T_{N}=\log _{2} N+1$
- $O(\log n)$
- Binary search is a
- logarithmic algorithm
-O- linear -O- log (N)
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## Big-O Notation

- All constant functions are in $\mathrm{O}(1)$
- All linear functions are in $\mathrm{O}(n)$
- All logarithmic function are in the same class $O(\log (n))$
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\log _{2}(n)\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\log _{3}(n)\right)=\ldots$
- $\quad\left(\right.$ since $\left.\log _{b}(a) * \log _{a}(n)=\log _{b}(n)\right)$
- We say an algorithm is $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ if, for an input of size $n$, the algorithm requires $T(n)$ steps, with $T(n)$ in $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$, and $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ minimal
- binary search is $O(\log (n))$
- linear search is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
- We say a problem is $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ if the best algorithm is $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$
- finding the maximum in an unsorted sequence is $\mathrm{O}(n)$


## Common Categories

- $O(1)$ : constant - instructions in the program are executed a fixed number of times, independent of the size of the input
- $O(\log N)$ : logarithmic - some divide \& conquer algorithms with trivial splitting and combining operations
- $O(N)$ : linear - every element of the input has to be processed, usually in a straight forward way
- $O\left(N^{*} \log N\right)$ : Divide \&Conquer algorithms where splitting or combining operation is proportional to the input
- $O\left(N^{2}\right)$ : quadratic. Algorithms which have to compare each input value with every other input value. Problematic for large input
- $O\left(N^{3}\right)$ : cubic, only feasible for very small problem sizes
- $O\left(2^{N}\right)$ : exponential, of almost no practical use


## Complexity Matters

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{l o g} \mathbf{n}$ | nlogn | $\mathbf{n}^{\wedge 2}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 4 | 40 | 100 | 1024 |
| 100 | 7 | 700 | 10000 | $1.3 E_{+}+30$ |
| 1000 | 10 | 10000 | 1000000 | REALLY <br> BIG |
| 10000 | 14 | 140000 | 100000000 |  |
| 100000 | 17 | 1700000 | 10000000000 |  |
| 1000000 | 20 | 20000000 | 1000000000000 |  |

## Exercise

What would be the time complexity of inserting an element at the beginning of

- a linked list
- an array

What would be the time complexity of inserting an element at the end of

- a linked list
- an array

