I/O MANAGEMENT

- → Categories of I/O devices and their integration with processor and bus
- → Design of I/O subsystems
- → I/O buffering and disk scheduling
- → RAID


CATEGORIES OF I/O DEVICES

There exists a large variety of I/O devices:

- → Many of them have different properties
- Slide 2

Slide 1

- → They seem to require a range of different interfaces
 - We don't want a new device interface for every new device
 - Diverse, but similar interfaces lead to duplication of code
- → Challenge: Uniform and efficient approach to I/O



Controller:

- → can often handle more than one identical devices
- → low level interface to actual device
- → for disks: perform error check, assemble data in buffer

Three classes of devices (by usage):

- → Human readable:
 - For communication with user
 - Keyboard, mouse, video display, printer
- → Machine readable:
- Slide 4
- For communication with electronic equipment
- Disks, tapes, sensors, controllers, actuators
- → Remote communication:
 - For communication with remote devices
 - Modems, Ethernet, wireless

WHICH DIFFERENCES IMPACT DEVICE HANDLING?


- → Data rate
- → Complexity of control
 - e.g., line printer versus high-speed graphics
- → Unit of transfer

Slide 5

- stream-oriented: e.g. terminal I/O
- block-oriented: e.g. disk I/O
- → Data representation (encoding)
- → Error conditions (types, severity, recovery, etc.)

Hopefully similarity within a class, but there are exceptions!

Typical data rates of I/O devices::



ACCESSING I/O DEVICES — HARDWARE

Interfacing alternatives:

Slide 7

- → Port mapped I/O
- → Memory mappaed I/O
- → Direct memory access (DMA)


Port mapped versus memory mapped I/O:

- → Memory-mapped I/O:
 - I/O device registers and memory are mapped into the normal memory address range
 - Standard memory access is used

Slide 8

3

- any memory access function can be used to manipulate I/O device
- → Port-mapped I/O:
 - I/O devices are accessed using special I/O port instructions
 - Only part of the address lines are needed
 - standard PC architecture: 16 bit port address space



Slide 9

Slide 10

- (a) Port mapped
- (b) Memory mapped
- (c) Hybrid

Memory mapped I/O

- ✓ directly accessable in high-level languages
- ✓ no need for special protection mechanism
- ✓ not necessary to load contents into main memory/registers
- x interference with caching
- memory modules and I/O devices must inspect all memory references


5


✗ complex problem if multiple buses are present

DIRECT MEMORY ACCESS (DMA)

Basics:

- → Takes control of the system form the CPU to transfer data to and from memory over the system bus
- → Cycle stealing is used to transfer data on the system bus
- → The instruction cycle is suspended so data can be transferred
- → The CPU pauses one bus cycle
- → No interrupts occur (i.e., no expensive context switches)





Slide 13

- → most buses as well as DMA controllers can operate in word-by-word or block mode
 - word-by-word mode: use cycle stealing to transfer data
 - block mode: DMA controller aquires bus to transfer data
- → typically, devices like disks, sound or graphic cards use DMA

Processor transfers data vs DMA:


- → Processor transfers data:
 - Processor copies data from main memory into processor registers or memory
 - Large data volume ⇒ CPU load can be very high

Slide 14

- → Direct memory access (DMA):
 - Processor forwards address range of data to a DMA controller
 - The DMA controller performs the data transfer without processor intervention (but locks the memory bus)
 - Slows down processor, but overhead much less

Configurations: Single bus, detached DMA::

Slide 15




→ Cycle stealing causes the CPU to execute more slowly

Single bus, integrated DMA I/O:

Slide 16


7



→ Reduce busy cycles by integrating the DMA and I/O devices

Separate I/O bus:

Slide 17



→ Path between DMA module and I/O module that does not include the system bus

ACCESSING I/O DEVICES

Three general approaches on software level:

- ① Programmed I/O
 - poll on device
- Slide 18
- ② Interrupt-driven I/O
 - suspend when device not ready
- ③ I/O using direct memory access (DMA)
 - use extra hardware component

Let's have a look at each of the three methods.

PROGRAMMED AND INTERRUPT DRIVEN I/O

Example: what happens when the CPU reads from disk?

Slide 19

- ① Disk controllerreads block bit by bit into buffer
 - compute checksum to detect read errors
 - causes interrupt
- ② CPU copies block byte by byte into main memory

PROGRAMMED I/O

Read:

- 1) poll on status of device
- ② issue read command to device
- 3 wait for read to complete, poll on status of device
- (a) copy data from device register into main memory
 - (5) jump to (1) until all data read

Write:

- ① poll on status of device
- 2 copy data to device register
- 3 issue write command to device
- (4) jump to (1) until all data written

9

Properties:


Slide 21

- → programmed I/O suitable in some situations (e.g., single threaded, embedded system)
- → usually inefficent, waste of CPU cycles

INTERRUPT-DRIVEN I/O

→ avoid polling on device!

Slide 22



INTERRUPT-DRIVEN I/O

Steps involved:

- ① issue read/write command to device
- ② wait for corresponding interrupt, suspend
- 3 device ready: acknowledge I/O interrupt
- 4 handle interrupt:

Slide 23

- read data from device register
- write data to device register

Properties:

- → high overhead due to frequent context switching
- → more efficient use of CPU than programmed I/O
- → but, still waste of CPU cycles as CPU does all the work

Alternative: use extra hardware (direct memory access controller) to offload some of the work from CPU

DIRECT MEMORY ACCESS (DMA)

How DMA works:

- CPU tells DMA controller what it should copy, and where it should copy to
- ② DMA controller issues read request to disk controller

Slide 24

11

- 3 disk controller
 - transfers word into main memory
 - signals DMA controller
- - if all words are transferred, signal CPU
 - otherwise, continue with step (2)

THE QUEST FOR GENERALITY/UNIFORMITY

Ideal state:

→ handle all I/O devices in the same way (both in the OS and in user processes)

Slide 25

Problem:

- → Diversity of I/O devices
- → Especially, different access methods (random access versus stream-based) as well as vastly different data rates
- → Generality often compromises efficiency!

THE EVOLUTION OF THE IO-FUNCTION

Hardware changes trigger changes in handling of IO devices:

- ① Processor directly controls a peripheral device
- ② Controller or IO module is added

Slide 26

- Programmed IO without interrupts
- Example: Universal Asynchroneous Receiver Transmitter
- CPU reads or writes bytes to IO controller
- CPU does not need to handle details of external device
- 3 Controller or IO module with interrupts
 - CPU does not spend time waiting on completion of operation


- ④ DMA
 - CPU involved at beginning an end only
- © IO module has separate processor

Slide 27


- Example: SCSI controller)
- Controller CPU executes SCSI code out of main memory
- © 10 module is a computer in its own right
 - Myrinet multi-gigabit network controller

I/O SOFTWARE LAYERS

I/O software is divided into a number of layers, to provide adequate abstraction and modularisation:



I/O Organisation:



I/O INTERRUPT HANDLER

- → Interrupt handlers are best "hidden"
- → Can execute almost any time, raises complex concurrency issues
- → Generally, drivers starting an IO operation block until interrupt notifies them of completion (dev_read())
- → Interrupt handler does its task, then unblocks driver

I/O INTERRUPT HANDLER

Main steps involved:

Slide 31

Slide 32


- ① save state that has not already been saved by hardware
- ② set up context (address space) for specific interrupt handler (stack, TLB, MMU, page table)
- set up stack for interrupt service procedure (usually runs in kernel stack of current process)
- 4 acknowledge interrupt controller, re-enable other interrupts
- **5** run specific interrupt handler
 - find out what caused interrupt (received network packet, disk read finished, etc)
 - get information from device controller
- © re-enable interrupt
- ⑦ invoke scheduler

DEVICE DRIVER

Code to control specific device:

- → usually differs from device to device
- → sometimes suitable for class of devices adhering to a standard (e.g., SCSI)
- → common interface to rest of OS, depending on type (block, character, network) of device

DEVICE DRIVER



Main steps involved:

- check input parameters
- ② translate request (open, close, read, ...) into appropriate sequence of commands for part. hardware
- 3 convert into device specific format e.g. disk

Slide 34

Slide 33

- linear block number into head, track, sector, cylinder number
- 4 check if device is available, queue request if necessary
- ⑤ program device controller (may block, depending on device)

Device drivers also initialise hardware at boot time, shut it down cleanly.

DEVICE INDEPENDENT I/O SOFTWARE

- → Commonality between drivers of different classes
- → Split software into device dependent and independent part

Device independent software is responsible for:

Slide 35

Slide 36

- → Uniform interfacing to device drivers
- → Buffering
- → Error reporting
- → Allocating/releasing
- → Providing device independent block sizes

We will look into each of these tasks separately

UNIFORM INTERFACING

Design goal:

- → interface to all device driver should be the same
- → may not be possible, but few classes of different devices, high similarity between classes
- → provides an abstraction layer over concrete device
- → uniform kernel interface for device code
 - kmalloc, installing IRQ handler
 - allows kernel to evolve without breaking exisiting drivers

Naming of devices:

- → map symbolic device names to driver
- → Unix, Windows 2000:
 - devices appear in the file system
 - usual file protection rule applies to device drivers

Unix device files:

- → Uniform device interface: devices as files
 - read()
 - write()
 - seek()
- Slide 37 seek()
 ioctl() etc.
 - → Main attributes of a device file:
 - Device type: block versus character (stream) devices
 - Major number (1–255) identifies driver (device group)
 - Minor number (8 bit) identifies a specific device in a group

Examples:

Slide 38

Name	Туре	Major	Minor	Description
/dev/fd0	block	2	0	floppy disk
/dev/hda	block	3	0	first IDE disk
/dev/hda2	block	3	0	2nd primary partition of IDE disk
/dev/ttyp0	char	3	0	terminal
/dev/ttyp0	char	5	1	console
/dev/null	char	1	3	Null device

Some I/O devices have no device file:

- → network interfaces are handled differently
- → However, symbolic name for network interfaces (eth0)
- → Device name associated with network address

Slide 39

- → User-level interface: sockets (a BSD invention)
- → Sockets are also a file in the Unix file system, but offer a different interface
 - socket(), bind(), receive(), send() instead of open(), read() and write()

Implementation of device files:

- → Virtual File System (VFS)
 - Re-directs file operations to device driver
 - driver determined by the major number

Device drivers:

- → Device-dependent low-level code
 - convert between device operations and standard file ops (read(), write(), ioctl(), etc.)
- → Device drivers in Linux are:
 - statically linked into the kernel, or
 - dynamically loaded as kernel modules
- → The kernel provides standardised access to DMA etc.

Association of device drivers with device files:

- → VFS maintains tables of device file class descriptors
 - chrdevs for character devices
 - blkdevs for block devices
 - indexed by major device number
 - each contains file operation table
 - device driver registers itself with VFS by providing an entry

Slide 41

Slide 42

```
struct file_operations {
  ssize t (*read) (struct file *, char *, size t, loff t *);
  ssize_t (*write) (struct file *, const char *, size_t, loff_t *);
          (*ioctl) (struct inode *, struct file *,
                   unsigned int, unsigned long):
          (*mmap) (struct file *, struct vm_area_struct *);
  int
  int
          (*open) (struct inode *, struct file *);
          (*lock) (struct file *. int. struct file lock *):
};
```

Device access via device files:

- → On file open(), VFS does the following:
 - retrieves file type as well as major and minor number
 - indexes either chrdevs or blkdevs with major number
 - places file operations table into file descriptor
 - invokes the open() member of the file operations table
- → On other file operations:

- VFS performs indirect jump to handler function via file operations table
- → The file operation table provides a small and well-defined interface to most devices
- → However, the ioctl() entry is a kind of "back door":
 - implements functionality not covered by the other routines
 - ugly!

ALLOCATING AND RELEASING DEVICES

- → some devices can only be used by single process
 - e.g., CD burner

Slide 43


Slide 44

- → OS must manage allocation of devices
- → if device is mapped to special file
 - open and close to aquire and release device
 - may be blocking or non-blocking (fail)

I/O BUFFERING


Why do we need buffering?

- → Performance:
 - Put output data in buffer and write asynchronously
 - Batch several small writes into one large
 - Some devices can only read/write largish blocks
 - Read ahead
- → Locking of memory pages; deadlock avoidance:
 - Cannot swap pending I/O data (especially with DMA)
 - Cannot deliver data to process that is swapped out
 - → lock pages when I/O operation is gueued



Slide 45

- (a) no buffering
- (b) buffer in user space
 - what happens if page is swapped to disk?
- (c) buffer in kernel space
- (d) double buffering



Slide 46

- ① data copied to kernel space, user process does not block
- 2 driver copies it into controller register
- 3 copy to network, receiver's buffer
- 4 send acknowledgement
- 5 copy to kernel space, then user space

ALLOCATING AND RELEASING DEVICES

- → some devices can only be used by single process
 - e.g., CD burner
- Slide 47

Slide 48

- → OS must manage allocation of devices
- → if device is mapped to special file
 - open and clode to aquire and release device
 - may be blocking or non-blocking (fail)

ERROR REPORTING

Errors in context of I/O are common and can occur on different levels:

- → programming errors:
 - write to read-only device
 - invalid buffer address

report error code to caller

- → I/O error
 - device not present
 - storage medium defect
- → critical errors
 - critical data structure destroyed

USER SPACE I/O SOFTWARE

Library functions of different complexity

- → write
- → fprintf

Slide 49


→ graphics libraries

Spooling:

Special OS processes (daemons) control device

→ Linux: check ls /var/spool to see what type of I/O operations use spooling

SUMMARY OF I/O SYSTEM LAYERS



HARD DISKS

After general discussion of I/O, let's look at hard disks in detail

- → Disk hardware
- Slide 51
- architecture influences lower level I/O software
- → Disk formatting
- → Disk Scheduling
 - dealing with disk requests
- → RAID


COMPONENTS OF A DISK DRIVE

Platter
Surface 9
Platter
Surface 8
Surface 6
Surface 5
Surface 4
Surface 3
Surface 2
Surface 1
Surface 0
Spindle
Surface 1


Slide 52

HARD DISKS 25 TRACKS PER CYLINDER 26


TRACKS PER CYLINDER



Slide 53




Disk geometry:



Slide 54

Disk geometry:



Slide 55

- → modern disks are devided into zones
- → different number of sectors per track for each zone
- → present virtual geometry to OS
 - pretends to have equal amount of sectors per track
 - maps virtual (cylinder, head, sector) coordinate to real location

DISK FORMATTING

Low-level formatting:

Preamble	Data	ECC


Slide 56

27

Layout of sector:

- Preamble: marks start of a sector, cylinder and sector number
- Data: usually 512 byte section
- Error correction code (ECC): used to detect, correct errors

DISK FORMATTING — CYLINDER SKEW



DISK FORMATTING — INTERLEAVING SECTORS







- → system may not be able to keep up with rotation speed
- → to avoid interleaving sectors, modern controllers able to buffer entire track

Partitioning:

- → disk is divided into different partitions, each treated as a separate logical disk.
- → Partition table gives starting sector and size of each partition.
- → master boot record: boot code and partition table

Slide 59

High-level formatting: each partition contains


- → boot block
- → free storage admin info
- → root directory
- → type of file system


DISK ERROR HANDLING


- → due to high density, most disks have bad sectors
- → small defects can be masked using ECC
- → major defects handled by remapping to spare sectors

Substituting bad sectors:

Slide 60







Slide 58

Can be done by

- → disk controller
 - before disk is shipped
- Slide 61
- dynamically when repeated errors occur
- remapping by maintaining internal table or rewriting preamble
- → operating system: tricky (eg. backups)

DISK SCHEDULING

- → Disk performance is critical for system performance
- → Management and ordering of disk access requests have strong influence on
 - access time
 - bandwidth

Slide 62

- → Important to optimise because:
 - huge speed gap between memory and disk
 - disk throughput extremely sensitive to
 - request order ⇒ disk scheduling
 - placement of data on disk \Rightarrow file system design
- → Request scheduler must be aware of disk geometry

Disk performance parameters:

- → Disk is moving device ⇒ must position correctly for I/O
- → Execution of a disk operation involves:
 - Wait time: the process waits to be granted device access
 - Wait for device: time the request spends in a wait queue
 - Wait for channel: time until a shared I/O channel is available
 - Access time: time the hardware needs to position the head
 - Seek time: position the head at the desired track
 - Rotational delay (latency): spin disk to the desired sector
 - Transfer time: sectors to be read/written rotate below the head

Slide 64

Slide 63



32

DISK SCHEDULING 31 PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS

- \rightarrow Seek time T_s : Moving the head to the required track
 - not linear in the number of tracks to traverse:
 - startup and settling time
 - Typical average seek time: a few milliseconds
- → Rotational delay:
 - rotational speed, r, of 5,000 to 10,000rpm
 - At 10,000rpm, one revolution per 6ms ⇒ average delay 3ms
- → Transfer time:

Slide 65

Slide 66

• to transfer b bytes, with N bytes per track:

$$T = \frac{b}{rN}$$

11ms \Rightarrow All sectors: 11 + 7 * 9 = 74ms

• Total average access time:

$$T_a = T_s + \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{b}{rN}$$

A Timing Comparison:

- \rightarrow $T_s=2$ ms, r=10,000 rpm, 512B sect, 320 sect/track
- → Read a file with 2560 sectors (= 1.3MB)
- → File stored compactly (8 adjacent tracks):

Read first track	
Average seek	2ms
Rot. delay	3ms
Read 320 sectors	6ms

→ Sectors distributed randomly over the disk:

Read any sector		
Average seek	2ms	
Rot. delay	3ms	
Read 1 sector	0.01875ms	
-	5.01875ms	

DISK SCHEDULING POLICY

Observation from the calculation:

Slide 67


- → Seek time is the reason for differences in performance
- → For a single disk there will be a number of I/O requests
- → Processing in random order leads to worst possible performance
- → We need better strategies

First-in, first-out (FIFO):

→ Process requests as they come in

Request tracks: 55, 58, 39, 18, 90, 160, 150, 38, 184





- → Fair (no starvation!)
- → Good for few processes with clustered requests
- → deteriorates to random if there are many processes


33

Shortest Service Time First (SSTF):

→ Select the request that minimises seek time

Request tracks: 55, 58, 39, 18, 90, 160, 150, 38, 184

Slide 69




- → service order: 90, 58, 55,39,18, 150,160,184
- → Minimising locally may not lead to overall minimum!
- → Can lead to starvation

SCAN (Elevator): Move head in one direction

→ services requests in track order until it reaches last track, then reverse direction

Request tracks: 55, 58, 39, 18, 90, 160, 150, 38, 184

Slide 70




- → service order: 150,160,184, (200), 90, 58, 55,39,18, (0)
- → Similar to SSTF, but avoids starvation
- → LOOK: variant of SCAN, moves head only to last request of one direction: 150,160,184, 90, 58, 55,39,18
- → SCAN/LOOK are biased against region most recently traversed
- → Favour innermost and outermost tracks
- → Makes poor use of sequential reads (on down-scan)

Circular SCAN (C-SCAN):

- → Like SCAN, but scanning to one direction only
 - When reaching last track, go back to first non-stop
 Request tracks: 55, 58, 39, 18, 90, 160, 150, 38, 184

Slide 71



- → Better use of locality (sequential reads)
- → Better use of disk controller's read-ahead cache
- → Reduces the maximum delay compared to SCAN

N-step-SCAN:

- → SSTF, SCAN & C-SCAN allow device monopolisation
 - process issues many requests to same track

- → N-step-SCAN segments request queue:
 - ullet subqueues of length N
 - process one queue at a time, using SCAN
 - added new requests to other queue

FSCAN:

Slide 73

Slide 74

- → Two queues
 - one being presently processed
 - other to hold new incoming requests

Disk scheduling algorithms:

Name	Description	Remarks				
Selection according to requestor						
RSS	Random scheduling	For analysis and simulation				
FIFO	First in, first out	Fairest				
PRI	By process priority	Control outside disk magmt				
LIFO	Last in, first out	Maximise locality & utilisation				
Selection according to requested item						
SSTF	Shortest seek time first	High utilisation, small queues				
SCAN	Back and forth over disk	Better service distribution				
C-SCAN	One-way with fast return	Better worst-case time				
N-SCAN	SCAN of N recs at once	Service guarantee				
FSCAN N-SCAN (N=init. queue)		Load sensitive				

DISK SCHEDULING

- → Modern disks:
 - seek and rotational delay dominate performance
 - not efficient to read only few sectors
 - cache contains substantial part of currently read track
- → assume real disk geometry is same as virtual geometry
- → if not, controller can use scheduling algorithm internally

So, does OS disk scheduling make any difference at all?

LINUX 2.4.

Slide 76

- → Used a version of C-SCAN
- → no real-time support
 → Write and read handled in the same way read requests have to be prioritised

LINUX 2.6.

Deadline I/O scheduler:

- → two additional queues: FIFO read queue with deadline of 5ms, FIFO write with deadline of 500ms
- Slide 77

Slide 78

- → request submitted to both queues
- → if request expires, scheduler dispatches from FIFO queue
- → Performance:
 - ✓ seeks minimised
 - ✓ requests not starved
 - ✓ read requests handled faster
 - x can result in seek storm, everything read from FIFO queues

Anticipatory Scheduling:

- → Same, but anticipates dependent read requests
- → After read request: waits for a few ms
- → Performance
 - ✓ can dramatically reduce the number of seek operations
 - x if no requests follow, time is wasted

PERFORMANCE

→ Writes

- similar for writes
- deadline scheduler slightly better than AS

Slide 79

- → Reads
 - deadline: about 10 times faster for reads
 - as: 100 times faster for streaming reads

apparently, applications like Apache run about 50% faster

RAID

- → CPU performace has improved exponentially
- → disk performance only by a factor of 5 to 10

Slide 80

39

→ huge gap between CPU and disk performance

Parallel processing used to improve CPU performance.

Question: can parallel I/O be used to speed up and improve reliability of I/O?

RAID: REDUNDANT ARRAY OF INEXPENSIVE/INDEPENDENT DISKS

Multiple disks for improved performance or reliability:


- → Set of physical disks
- → Treated as a single logical drive by OS


Slide 81


- → Data is distributed over a number of physical disks
- → Redundancy used to recover from disk failure (exception: RAID 0)
- → There is a range of standard configurations
 - numbered 0 to 6
 - various redundancy and distribution arrangements

RAID 0 (striped, non-redundant):










Slide 82


- → controller translates single request into separate requests to single disks
- → requests can be processed in parallel
- → simple, works well for large requests
- → does not improve on reliability, no redundancy

Data mapping for RAID 0:



Slide 83

RAID 1 (mirrored, $2 \times$ redundancy):




→ write: each request is written twice

→ duplicates all disks

→ read: can be read from either disk


RAID 2 (redundancy through Hamming code):



Slide 85

- → strips are very small (single byte or word)
- → error correction code across corresponding bit positions
- \rightarrow for n disks, log_2n redundancy
- → expensive
- → high data rate, but only single request

RAID 3 (bit-interleaved parity):



Slide 86

- → strips are very small (single byte or word)
- → simple parity bit based redundancy
- → error detection
- → partial error correction (if offender is known)

RAID 4 (block-level parity):

Slide 87

| block 0 |
| block 4 |
| block 8 |
| block 12




block 2
block 6
block 10
block 14

block 3 block 7 block 11 block 15

P(0-3) P(4-7) P(8-11) P(12-15)

RAID 5 (block-level distributed parity):

Slide 88



block 1
block 5
block 9
P(12-15)
block 16

block 2 block 6 P(8-11) block 13 block 17 block 18 P(0-3) block 7 block 11 block 15 block 19

RAID 6 (dual redundancy):

