



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Simon Winwood, Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein

HOL

Slide 1



DEFINING HIGHER ORDER LOGIC

Slide 2



What is Higher Order Logic?

→ **Propositional Logic:**

- no quantifiers
- all variables have type `bool`

→ **First Order Logic:**

- quantification over values, but not over functions and predicates,
- terms and formulas syntactically distinct

→ **Higher Order Logic:**

- quantification over everything, including predicates
- consistency by types
- formula = term of type `bool`
- definition built on λ^{\rightarrow} with certain default types and constants

Slide 3



Defining Higher Order Logic

Default types:

`bool` `_ ⇒ _` `ind`

→ `bool` sometimes called *o*

→ `⇒` sometimes called *fun*

Default Constants:

`→` :: `bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool`

`=` :: `α ⇒ α ⇒ bool`

`ε` :: `(α ⇒ bool) ⇒ α`

Slide 4

Higher Order Abstract Syntax



Problem: Define syntax for binders like $\forall, \exists, \varepsilon$

One approach: $\forall :: var \Rightarrow term \Rightarrow bool$

Drawback: need to think about substitution, α conversion again.

But: Already have binder, substitution, α conversion in meta logic

λ

So: Use λ to encode all other binders.

Slide 5

Higher Order Abstract Syntax



Example:

$ALL :: (\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

| HOAS | usual syntax |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| $ALL (\lambda x. x = 2)$ | $\forall x. x = 2$ |
| $ALL P$ | $\forall x. P x$ |

Isabelle can translate usual binder syntax into HOAS.

Slide 6

Side Track: Syntax Declarations in Isabelle



→ **mifix:**

consts `drvbl :: ct => ct => fm => bool ("_,_ -> _")`

Legal syntax now: $\Gamma, \Pi \vdash F$

→ **priorities:**

pattern can be annotated with priorities to indicate binding strength

Example: `drvbl :: ct => ct => fm => bool ("_,_ -> _" [30, 0, 20] 60)`

→ **infix/infixr:** short form for left/right associative binary operators

Example: `or :: bool => bool => bool (infixr " \vee " 30)`

→ **binders:** declaration must be of the form

$c :: (\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2) \Rightarrow \tau_3$ (binder " B " $< p >$)

$B x. P x$ translated into $c P$ (and vice versa)

Example `ALL :: (\alpha => bool) => bool (binder "\forall" 10)`

More (including pretty printing) in Isabelle Reference Manual (7.3)

Slide 7

Back to HOL



Base: $bool, \Rightarrow, ind, =, \longrightarrow, \varepsilon$

And the rest is definitions:

$True \equiv (\lambda x :: bool. x) = (\lambda x. x)$

$All P \equiv P = (\lambda x. True)$

$Ex P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

$False \equiv \forall P. P$

$\neg P \equiv P \longrightarrow False$

$P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

$If P x y \equiv SOME z. (P = True \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = False \longrightarrow z = y)$

$inj f \equiv \forall x y. f x = f y \longrightarrow x = y$

$surj f \equiv \forall y. \exists x. y = f x$

Slide 8

The Axioms of HOL



$$\frac{}{t=t} \text{ refl} \quad \frac{s=t \quad P s}{P t} \text{ subst} \quad \frac{\bigwedge x. f x = g x}{(\lambda x. f x) = (\lambda x. g x)} \text{ ext}$$

$$\frac{P \Rightarrow Q}{P \longrightarrow Q} \text{ impl} \quad \frac{P \longrightarrow Q \quad P}{Q} \text{ mp}$$

$$\frac{}{(P \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow (P = Q)} \text{ iff}$$

$$\frac{}{P = \text{True} \vee P = \text{False}} \text{ True_or_False}$$

$$\frac{P ?x}{P (\text{SOME } x. P x)} \text{ someI}$$

$$\frac{}{\exists f :: \text{ind} \Rightarrow \text{ind. inj } f \wedge \neg \text{surj } f} \text{ infTy}$$

Slide 9

That's it.



- 3 basic constants
- 3 basic types
- 9 axioms

With this you can define and derive all the rest.

Isabelle knows 2 more axioms:

$$\frac{x=y \quad y=x}{x=y} \text{ eq_reflection} \quad \frac{}{(\text{THE } x. x = a) = a} \text{ the_eq_trivial}$$

Slide 10

DEMO: THE DEFINITIONS IN ISABELLE



Slide 11

Deriving Proof Rules



In the following, we will

- look at the definitions in more detail
- derive the traditional proof rules from the axioms in Isabelle

Convenient for deriving rules: **named assumptions in lemmas**

```
lemma [name :]
  assumes [name1 :] "<prop >1"
  assumes [name2 :] "<prop >2"
  :
  shows "<prop >" <proof >
```

proves: [<prop >₁; <prop >₂; ...] \implies <prop >

Slide 12

True



consts True :: bool
True ≡ (λx :: bool. x) = (λx. x)

Intuition:
right hand side is always true

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{}{\text{True}} \text{TrueI}$$

Proof:

$$\frac{\frac{(\lambda x :: \text{bool}. x) = (\lambda x. x)}{\text{True}} \text{refl}}{\text{True}} \text{unfold True_def}$$

Slide 13

Universal Quantifier



consts ALL :: (α ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool
ALL P ≡ P = (λx. True)

Intuition:

- ALL P is Higher Order Abstract Syntax for $\forall x. P x$.
- P is a function that takes an x and yields a truth values.
- ALL P should be true iff P yields true for all x, i.e. if it is equivalent to the function $\lambda x. \text{True}$.

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{\bigwedge x. P x}{\forall x. P x} \text{all} \quad \frac{\forall x. P x \quad P ?x \implies R}{R} \text{allE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

Slide 15

False



consts False :: bool
False ≡ $\forall P. P$

Intuition:

Everything can be derived from False.

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{\text{False}}{P} \text{FalseE} \quad \frac{}{\text{True} \neq \text{False}}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo



DEMO

Slide 14

Slide 16

Negation



consts Not :: $bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ (\neg)
 $\neg P \equiv P \longrightarrow \text{False}$

Intuition:

Try $P = \text{True}$ and $P = \text{False}$ and the traditional truth table for \longrightarrow .

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{A \Longrightarrow \text{False}}{\neg A} \text{ notI} \quad \frac{\neg A \quad A}{P} \text{ notE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

Slide 17

Existential Quantifier



consts EX :: $(\alpha \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$

$\text{EX } P \equiv \forall Q. (\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q) \longrightarrow Q$

Intuition:

- EX P is HOAS for $\exists x. P x$. (like \forall)
- Right hand side is characterization of \exists with \forall and \longrightarrow
- Note that inner \forall binds wide: $(\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q)$
- Remember lemma from last time: $(\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q) = ((\exists x. P x) \longrightarrow Q)$

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{P ?x}{\exists x. P x} \text{ exI} \quad \frac{\exists x. P x \quad \bigwedge x. P x \Longrightarrow R}{R} \text{ exE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

Slide 18

Conjunction



consts And :: $bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($_ \wedge _$)
 $P \wedge Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

- Mirrors proof rules for \wedge
- Try truth table for P , Q , and R

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} \text{ conjI} \quad \frac{A \wedge B \quad [A; B] \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ conjE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

Slide 19

Disjunction



consts Or :: $bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($_ \vee _$)

$P \vee Q \equiv \forall R. (P \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow (Q \longrightarrow R) \longrightarrow R$

Intuition:

- Mirrors proof rules for \vee (case distinction)
- Try truth table for P , Q , and R

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \vee B} \text{ disjI1/2} \quad \frac{A \vee B \quad A \Longrightarrow C \quad B \Longrightarrow C}{C} \text{ disjE}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

Slide 20

If-Then-Else



consts If :: $bool \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$ (if_ then _ else _)

If $P\ x\ y \equiv \text{SOME } z. (P = \text{True} \longrightarrow z = x) \wedge (P = \text{False} \longrightarrow z = y)$

Intuition:

→ for $P = \text{True}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = x$

→ for $P = \text{False}$, right hand side collapses to $\text{SOME } z. z = y$

Proof Rules:

$$\frac{}{\text{if True then } s \text{ else } t = s} \text{ifTrue} \quad \frac{}{\text{if False then } s \text{ else } t = t} \text{ifFalse}$$

Proof: Isabelle Demo

Slide 21



THAT WAS HOL

Slide 22

More on Automation



Last time: safe and unsafe rule, heuristics: use safe before unsafe

This can be automated

Syntax:

[<kind>!] for safe rules (<kind> one of intro, elim, dest)
[<kind>] for unsafe rules

Application (roughly):

do safe rules first, search/backtrack on unsafe rules only

Example:

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| declare attribute globally | declare conj! [intro!] allE [elim] |
| remove attribute globally | declare allE [rule del] |
| use locally | apply (blast intro: some!) |
| delete locally | apply (blast del: conj!) |

Slide 23



DEMO: AUTOMATION

Slide 24

We have learned today ...



- Defining HOL
- Higher Order Abstract Syntax
- Deriving proof rules
- More automation

Slide 25