

#### **COMP 4161**

#### NICTA Advanced Course

## **Advanced Topics in Software Verification**

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray, Rafal Kolanski



## Slide 1

Ourtest	
Content	NICTA
	MICIA
→ Intro & motivation, getting started	[1]
→ Foundations & Principles	
Lambda Calculus, natural deduction	[1,2]
Higher Order Logic	[3]
Term rewriting	[4 <sup>a</sup> ]
→ Proof & Specification Techniques	
<ul> <li>Inductively defined sets, rule induction</li> </ul>	[5]
<ul> <li>Datatypes, recursion, induction</li> </ul>	$[6^b, 7]$
<ul> <li>Code generation, type classes</li> </ul>	[7]
<ul> <li>Hoare logic, proofs about programs, refinement</li> </ul>	[8,9c,10d]
• Isar, locales	[11,12]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>a1 due; <sup>b</sup>a2 due; <sup>c</sup>session break; <sup>d</sup>a3 due

#### Slide 2

## Last Time



- → Equations and Term Rewriting
- → Confluence and Termination of reduction systems
- → Term Rewriting in Isabelle

## Slide 3

# Applying a Rewrite Rule



- $\Rightarrow \ l \longrightarrow r \ \mbox{applicable to term} \ t[s]$  if there is substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \ l = s$
- → Result:  $t[\sigma \ r]$
- **→** Equationally:  $t[s] = t[\sigma \ r]$

## Example:

Rule:  $0 + n \longrightarrow n$ 

**Term:** a + (0 + (b + c))

**Substitution:**  $\sigma = \{n \mapsto b + c\}$ 

**Result:** a + (b + c)

## Conditional Term Rewriting



Rewrite rules can be conditional:

$$[P_1 \dots P_n] \Longrightarrow l = r$$

is **applicable** to term t[s] with  $\sigma$  if

- $\rightarrow \sigma l = s \text{ and }$
- $\rightarrow \sigma P_1, \ldots, \sigma P_n$  are provable by rewriting.

## Slide 5

## Rewriting with Assumptions



Last time: Isabelle uses assumptions in rewriting.

#### Can lead to non-termination.

#### Example:

lemma "
$$f x = g x \land g x = f x \Longrightarrow f x = 2$$
"

simp use and simplify assumptions

(simp (no\_asm)) ignore assumptions

(simp (no\_asm\_use)) simplify, but do not use assumptions (simp (no\_asm\_simp)) use, but do not simplify assumptions

Slide 6

## Preprocessing



Preprocessing (recursive) for maximal simplification power:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \neg A & \mapsto & A = False \\ A \longrightarrow B & \mapsto & A \Longrightarrow B \\ A \wedge B & \mapsto & A, B \\ \forall x. \ A \ x & \mapsto & A \ ?x \\ A & \mapsto & A = True \end{array}$$

Example:

$$\begin{array}{c} (p\longrightarrow q\wedge\neg r)\wedge s\\ \\ \mapsto\\ p\Longrightarrow q=True \qquad p\Longrightarrow r=False \qquad s=True \end{array}$$

Slide 7



**D**EMO

## Case splitting with simp



$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \ (\text{if} \ A \ \text{then} \ s \ \text{else} \ t) \\ &= \\ (A \longrightarrow P \ s) \wedge (\neg A \longrightarrow P \ t) \end{array}$$

#### Automatic

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \ (\mathsf{case} \ e \ \mathsf{of} \ 0 \ \Rightarrow \ a \mid \mathsf{Suc} \ n \ \Rightarrow \ b) \\ = \\ (e = 0 \longrightarrow P \ a) \wedge (\forall n. \ e = \mathsf{Suc} \ n \longrightarrow P \ b) \end{array}$$

Manually: apply (simp split: nat.split)

Similar for any data type t: t.split

#### Slide 9

## Congruence Rules

# O • NICTA

#### congruence rules are about using context

**Example**: in  $P \longrightarrow Q$  we could use P to simplify terms in Q

For  $\Longrightarrow$  hardwired (assumptions used in rewriting)

For other operators expressed with conditional rewriting.

**Example**:  $\llbracket P = P'; P' \Longrightarrow Q = Q' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \longrightarrow Q) = (P' \longrightarrow Q')$ 

**Read**: to simplify  $P \longrightarrow Q$ 

- $\rightarrow$  first simplify P to P'
- $\rightarrow$  then simplify Q to Q' using P' as assumption
- $\Rightarrow$  the result is  $P' \longrightarrow Q'$

## Slide 10

## More Congruence



Sometimes useful, but not used automatically (slowdown):

 $\mathbf{conj\_cong:} \ \llbracket P = P'; P' \Longrightarrow Q = Q' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P \land Q) = (P' \land Q')$ 

Context for if-then-else:

Prevent rewriting inside then-else (default):

**if\_weak\_cong**:  $b = c \Longrightarrow$  (if b then x else y) = (if c then x else y)

- → declare own congruence rules with [cong] attribute
- → delete with [cong del]
- → use locally with e.g. apply (simp cong: <rule>)

#### Slide 11

## Ordered rewriting



**Problem:**  $x + y \longrightarrow y + x$  does not terminate

Solution: use permutative rules only if term becomes

lexicographically smaller.

**Example:**  $b+a \rightsquigarrow a+b$  but not  $a+b \rightsquigarrow b+a$ .

For types nat, int etc:

- lemmas add\_ac sort any sum (+)
- lemmas times\_ac sort any product (\*)

**Example:** apply (simp add: add\_ac) yields  $(b+c) + a \rightsquigarrow \cdots \rightsquigarrow a + (b+c)$ 

#### AC Rules



#### Example for associative-commutative rules:

**Associative**:  $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$ 

Commutative:  $x \odot y = y \odot x$ 

These 2 rules alone get stuck too early (not confluent).

Example:  $(z \odot x) \odot (y \odot v)$ 

We want:  $(z\odot x)\odot (y\odot v)=v\odot (x\odot (y\odot z))$ We get:  $(z\odot x)\odot (y\odot v)=v\odot (y\odot (x\odot z))$ 

We need: AC rule  $x \odot (y \odot z) = y \odot (x \odot z)$ 

If these 3 rules are present for an AC operator Isabelle will order terms correctly

Slide 13



**DEMO** 

Slide 14

## Back to Confluence



Last time: confluence in general is undecidable.

But: confluence for terminating systems is decidable!

Problem: overlapping lhs of rules.

#### Definition:

Let  $l_1 \longrightarrow r_1$  and  $l_2 \longrightarrow r_2$  be two rules with disjoint variables. They form a **critical pair** if a non-variable subterm of  $l_1$  unifies with  $l_2$ .

#### Example:

Rules: (1)  $f\:x\longrightarrow a$  (2)  $g\:y\longrightarrow b$  (3)  $f\:(g\:z)\longrightarrow b$  Critical pairs:

#### Slide 15

## Completion



(1) 
$$f x \longrightarrow a$$
 (2)  $g y \longrightarrow b$  (3)  $f (g z) \longrightarrow b$  is not confluent

#### But it can be made confluent by adding rules!

How: join all critical pairs

## Example:

$$(1)+(3) \qquad \{x\mapsto g\ z\} \qquad a\stackrel{(1)}{\longleftarrow} \ f\ (g\ z) \stackrel{(3)}{\longrightarrow} b$$
 shows that  $a=b$  (because  $a\stackrel{*}{\longleftarrow} b$ ), so we add  $a\longrightarrow b$  as a rule

This is the main idea of the Knuth-Bendix completion algorithm.



**NICTA** 

DEMO: WALDMEISTER

## Slide 17

# Orthogonal Rewriting Systems

## Definitions:

A **rule**  $l \longrightarrow r$  is **left-linear** if no variable occurs twice in l.

A rewrite system is left-linear if all rules are.

A system is **orthogonal** if it is left-linear and has no critical pairs.

Orthogonal rewrite systems are confluent

Application: functional programming languages

Slide 18

We have learned today ...



- → Conditional term rewriting
- → Congruence rules
- → AC rules
- → More on confluence