



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Gerwin Klein, June Andronick, Toby Murray, Rafal Kolanski

fun

Slide 1



General Recursion

The Choice

- Limited expressiveness, automatic termination
 - `primrec`
- High expressiveness, termination proof may fail
 - `fun`
- High expressiveness, tweakable, termination proof manual
 - `function`

Slide 3



Content

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]
- Foundations & Principles [1,2]
 - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [3]
 - Higher Order Logic [4^a]
 - Term rewriting
- Proof & Specification Techniques [5]
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [6, 7]
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction [7]
 - Code generation, type classes [8^b, 9^c, 10]
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs, refinement [11^d, 12]
 - Isar, locales

^aa1 due; ^ba2 due; ^csession break; ^da3 due

Slide 2



fun — examples

`fun sep :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list"`

where

`"sep a (x # y # zs) = x # a # sep a (y # zs)" |`

`"sep a xs = xs"`

`fun ack :: "nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat"`

where

`"ack 0 n = Suc n" |`

`"ack (Suc m) 0 = ack m 1" |`

`"ack (Suc m) (Suc n) = ack m (ack (Suc m) n)"`

Slide 4

fun



- The definition:
 - pattern matching in all parameters
 - arbitrary, linear constructor patterns
 - reads equations sequentially like in Haskell (top to bottom)
 - proves termination automatically in many cases (tries lexicographic order)
- Generates own induction principle
- May fail to prove termination:
 - use **function (sequential)** instead
 - allows you to prove termination manually

Slide 5

fun — induction principle



- Each **fun** definition induces an induction principle
- For each equation:
 - show P holds for lhs, provided P holds for each recursive call on rhs
- Example **sep.induct**:
$$\begin{aligned} & [\wedge a. P a []; \\ & \wedge a w. P a [w] \\ & \wedge a x y zs. P a (y\#\#zs) \implies P a (x\#\#y\#\#zs); \\ &] \implies P a xs \end{aligned}$$

Slide 6

Termination



- Isabelle tries to prove termination automatically
- For most functions this works with a lexicographic termination relation.
- Sometimes not \Rightarrow error message with unsolved subgoal
- You can prove automation separately.

function (sequential) quicksort **where**

```
quicksort [] = [] |
```

```
quicksort (x\#\#xs) = quicksort [y ← xs.y ≤ x]@ [x]@ quicksort [y ← xs.x < y]
```

```
by pat_completeness auto
```

termination

```
by (relation "measure length") (auto simp: less_Suc_eq_Le)
```

function is the fully tweakable, manual version of **fun**

Slide 7

DEMO

Slide 8

How does fun/function work?



Recall **primrec**:

- defined one recursion operator per **datatype** D
- inductive definition of its graph $(x, f\ x) \in D_rel$
- prove totality: $\forall x. \exists y. (x, y) \in D_rel$
- prove uniqueness: $(x, y) \in D_rel \Rightarrow (x, z) \in D_rel \Rightarrow y = z$
- recursion operator for datatype D_rec , defined via THE .
- primrec: apply datatype recursion operator

Slide 9

How does fun/function work?



Similar strategy for **fun**:

- a new inductive definition for each **fun** f
- extract *recursion scheme* for equations in f
- define graph f_rel inductively, encoding recursion scheme
- prove totality (= termination)
- prove uniqueness (automatic)
- derive original equations from f_rel
- export induction scheme from f_rel

Slide 10

How does fun/function work?



Can separate and defer termination proof:

- skip proof of totality
- instead derive equations of the form: $x \in f_dom \Rightarrow f\ x = \dots$
- similarly, conditional induction principle
- $f_dom = acc\ f_rel$
- acc = accessible part of f_rel
- the part that can be reached in finitely many steps
- termination = $\forall x. x \in f_dom$
- still have conditional equations for partial functions

Slide 11

Proving Termination



Command **termination fun_name** sets up termination goal

$\forall x. x \in fun_name_dom$

Three main proof methods:

- **lexicographic_order** (default tried by **fun**)
- **size_change** (different automated technique)
- **relation R** (manual proof via well-founded relation)

Slide 12

Well Founded Orders



Definition

$<_r$ is well founded if well founded induction holds
 $wf\ r \equiv \forall P. (\forall x. (\forall y <_r x. P\ y) \longrightarrow P\ x) \longrightarrow (\forall x. P\ x)$

Well founded induction rule:

$$\frac{wf\ r \quad \bigwedge x. (\forall y <_r x. P\ y) \Longrightarrow P\ x}{P\ a}$$

Alternative definition (equivalent):

there are no infinite descending chains, or (equivalent):
every nonempty set has a minimal element wrt $<_r$

$$\begin{aligned} \min\ r\ Q\ x &\equiv \forall y \in Q. y \not<_r x \\ wf\ r &= (\forall Q \neq \{\}. \exists m \in Q. \min\ r\ Q\ m) \end{aligned}$$

Slide 13

Well Founded Orders: Examples



- $<$ on \mathbb{N} is well founded
well founded induction = complete induction
- $>$ and \leq on \mathbb{N} are **not** well founded
- $x <_r y = x\ \text{dvd}\ y \wedge x \neq 1$ on \mathbb{N} is well founded
the minimal elements are the prime numbers
- $(a, b) <_r (x, y) = a <_1 x \vee a = x \wedge b <_2 y$ is well founded
if $<_1$ and $<_2$ are
- $A <_r B = A \subset B \wedge \text{finite } B$ is well founded
- \subseteq and \subset in general are **not** well founded

More about well founded relations: *Term Rewriting and All That*

Slide 14

Extracting the Recursion Scheme



So far for termination. What about the recursion scheme?
Not fixed anymore as in primrec.

Examples:

→ fun fib where

fib 0 = 1 |
fib (Suc 0) = 1 |
fib (Suc (Suc n)) = fib n + fib (Suc n)

Recursion: $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) \rightsquigarrow n, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } n$

→ fun f where $f\ x = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f\ (x - 1) * 2)$

Recursion: $x \neq 0 \implies x \rightsquigarrow x - 1$

Slide 15

Extracting the Recursion Scheme



Higher Oder:

→ datatype 'a tree = Leaf 'a | Branch 'a tree list

fun treemap :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a tree ⇒ 'a tree where
treemap fn (Leaf n) = Leaf (fn n) |
treemap fn (Branch l) = Branch (map (treemap fn) l)

Recursion: $x \in \text{set } l \implies (\text{fn}, \text{Branch } l) \rightsquigarrow (\text{fn}, x)$

How to extract the context information for the call?

Slide 16

Extracting the Recursion Scheme

Extracting context for equations

\Rightarrow

Congruence Rules!

Recall rule **if_cong**:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b = c; c \implies x = u; \neg c \implies y = v \rrbracket \implies \\ & (\text{if } b \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) = (\text{if } c \text{ then } u \text{ else } v) \end{aligned}$$

Read: for transforming x , use b as context information, for y use $\neg b$.

In fun_def: for recursion in x , use b as context, for y use $\neg b$.

Slide 17



Congruence Rules for fun_defs

The same works for function definitions.

```
declare my_rule[fundef_cong]
(if_cong already added by default)
```

Another example (higher-order):

$$\llbracket xs = ys; \bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } ys \implies f\ x = g\ x \rrbracket \implies \text{map } f\ xs = \text{map } g\ ys$$

Read: for recursive calls in f , f is called with elements of xs

Slide 18



DEMO

Slide 19

Further Reading

Alexander Krauss,

Automating Recursive Definitions and Termination Proofs in Higher-Order Logic.

PhD thesis, TU Munich, 2009.

http://www4.in.tum.de/~krauss/diss/krauss_phd.pdf

Slide 20



We have seen today ...



- General recursion with **fun/function**
- Induction over recursive functions
- How **fun** works
- Termination, partial functions, congruence rules

Slide 21