



COMP 4161
NICTA Advanced Course

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Toby Murray, June Andronick, Gerwin Klein

more Isar

Slide 1



Content

- Intro & motivation, getting started [1]
- Foundations & Principles
 - Lambda Calculus, natural deduction [1,2]
 - Higher Order Logic [3^a]
 - Term rewriting [4]
- Proof & Specification Techniques
 - Inductively defined sets, rule induction [5]
 - Datatypes, recursion, induction [6, 7]
 - Hoare logic, proofs about programs, C verification [8^b, 9]
 - (mid-semester break)
 - Writing Automated Proof Methods [10]
 - Isar, codegen, typeclasses, locales [11^c, 12]

^aa1 due; ^ba2 due; ^ca3 due

Slide 2



Last time ... Isar!

- syntax: proof, qed, assume, from, show, have, next
- modes: prove, state, chain
- backward/forward reasoning
- fix, obtain
- abbreviations: this, then, thus, hence, with, ?thesis
- moreover, ultimately
- case distinction

Slide 3



Today

- Datatypes in Isar
- Calculational reasoning

Slide 4



DATATYPES IN ISAR

Slide 5



Datatype case distinction

```

proof (cases term)
  case Constructor1
  ⋮
next
  ⋮
next
  case (Constructork  $\vec{x}$ )
  ⋮  $\vec{x}$  ⋮
qed

```

```

case (Constructori  $\vec{x}$ ) ≡
fix  $\vec{x}$  assume Constructori : "term = Constructori  $\vec{x}$ "

```

Slide 6

Structural induction for type nat



```

show  $P\ n$ 
proof (induct n)
  case 0 ≡ let ?case =  $P\ 0$ 
  ...
  show ?case
next
  case (Suc n) ≡ fix n assume Suc:  $P\ n$ 
  ... let ?case =  $P\ (\text{Suc } n)$ 
  ... n ...
  show ?case
qed

```

Slide 7



Structural induction with \implies and \wedge

```

show " $\wedge x. A\ n \implies P\ n$ "
proof (induct n)
  case 0 ≡ fix x assume 0: " $A\ 0$ "
  ... let ?case = " $P\ 0$ "
  show ?case
next
  case (Suc n) ≡ fix n and x
  ... assume Suc: " $\wedge x. A\ n \implies P\ n$ "
  ... n ... " $A\ (\text{Suc } n)$ "
  ... let ?case = " $P\ (\text{Suc } n)$ "
  show ?case
qed

```

Slide 8



DEMO: DATATYPES IN ISAR

Slide 9



CALCULATIONAL REASONING

Slide 10

The Goal



Prove:

$$x \cdot x^{-1} = 1$$

using: **assoc:** $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$

left_inv: $x^{-1} \cdot x = 1$

left_one: $1 \cdot x = x$

Slide 11

The Goal



Prove:

$$x \cdot x^{-1} = 1 \cdot (x \cdot x^{-1})$$

$$\dots = 1 \cdot x \cdot x^{-1}$$

$$\dots = (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot x \cdot x^{-1}$$

$$\dots = (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (x^{-1} \cdot x) \cdot x^{-1}$$

$$\dots = (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot x^{-1}$$

$$\dots = (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot (1 \cdot x^{-1})$$

$$\dots = (x^{-1})^{-1} \cdot x^{-1}$$

$$\dots = 1$$

using: **assoc:** $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$

left_inv: $x^{-1} \cdot x = 1$

left_one: $1 \cdot x = x$

Can we do this in Isabelle?

- Simplifier: too eager
- Manual: difficult in apply style
- Isar: with the methods we know, too verbose

Slide 12

Chains of equations



The Problem

$$\begin{aligned} a &= b \\ \dots &= c \\ \dots &= d \end{aligned}$$

shows $a = d$ by transitivity of $=$

Each step usually nontrivial (requires own subproof)

Solution in Isar:

- Keywords **also** and **finally** to delimit steps
- ...: predefined schematic term variable, refers to right hand side of last expression
- Automatic use of transitivity rules to connect steps

Slide 13

also/finally



have " $t_0 = t_1$ " [proof]	calculation register
also	" $t_0 = t_1$ "
have " $\dots = t_2$ " [proof]	
also	" $t_0 = t_2$ "
\vdots	\vdots
also	" $t_0 = t_{n-1}$ "
have " $\dots = t_n$ " [proof]	
finally	$t_0 = t_n$
show P	
— 'finally' pipes fact " $t_0 = t_n$ " into the proof	

Slide 14

More about also



- Works for all combinations of $=$, \leq and $<$.
- Uses all rules declared as [trans].
- To view all combinations: `print_trans_rules`

Slide 15

Designing [trans] Rules



```
have = " $l_1 \odot r_1$ " [proof]
also
have "...  $\odot r_2$ " [proof]
also
```

Anatomy of a [trans] rule:

- Usual form: plain transitivity $[[l_1 \odot r_1; r_1 \odot r_2] \implies l_1 \odot r_2]$
- More general form: $[[P \ l_1 \ r_1; Q \ r_1 \ r_2; A] \implies C \ l_1 \ r_2]$

Examples:

- pure transitivity: $[[a = b; b = c] \implies a = c]$
- mixed: $[[a \leq b; b < c] \implies a < c]$
- substitution: $[[P \ a; a = b] \implies P \ b]$
- antisymmetry: $[[a < b; b < a] \implies P]$
- monotonicity: $[[a = f \ b; b < c; \wedge x \ y. x < y \implies f \ x < f \ y] \implies a < f \ c]$

Slide 16



DEMO

Slide 17



CODE GENERATION

Slide 18



HOL as programming language

We have

- numbers, arithmetic
- recursive datatypes
- constant definitions, recursive functions
- = a functional programming language
- can be used to get fully verified programs

Executed using the simplifier. But:

- slow, heavy-weight
- does not run stand-alone (without Isabelle)

Slide 19



Generating code

Translate HOL functional programming concepts, i.e.

- datatypes
- function definitions
- inductive predicates

into a stand-alone code in:

- SML
- Ocaml
- Haskell
- Scala

Slide 20

Syntax



export_code <definition_names> **in** SML
module_name <module_name> **file** "<file path>"

export_code <definition_names> **in** Haskell
module_name <module_name> **file** "<directory path>"

Takes a space-separated list of constants for which code shall be generated.

Anything else needed for those is added implicitly. Generates ML structure.

Slide 21



DEMO

Slide 22

Program Refinement



Aim: choosing appropriate code equations explicitly

Syntax:

lemma [code]:
<list of equations on function_name>

Example: more efficient definition of fibonacci function

Slide 23



DEMO

Slide 24

Inductive Predicates



Inductive specifications turned into equational ones

Example:

```
append [] ys ys
```

```
append xs ys zs  $\implies$  append (x # xs ) ys (x # zs )
```

Syntax:

code_pred **append** .

Slide 25

We have seen today ...



- Datatypes in Isar
- Calculations: also/finally
- [trans]-rules
- Code generation

Slide 27



DEMO

Slide 26