

COMP4161 S2/2015

Advanced Topics in Software Verification

Assignment 1

This assignment starts on Tue, 2015-08-11 and is due on Tue, 2015-08-18, 23:59h. We will accept plain text (.txt) files, PDF (.pdf) files, and Isabelle theory (.thy) files.

The assignment is take-home. This does NOT mean you can work in groups. Each submission is personal. For more information, see the plagiarism policy: <https://student.unsw.edu.au/plagiarism>

Submit using `give` on a CSE machine:

```
give cs4161 a1 files ...
```

For example:

```
give cs4161 a1 a1.thy a1.pdf
```

1 λ -Calculus (30 marks)

- Underline the free variables in the term: $(\lambda z. (\lambda x y. y) (\lambda a. a x z))$
(2 marks)
- β -reduce the following term to its normal form:
 $(\lambda x y. x) ((\lambda z. z) y)$ (8 marks)
- Write down the (most general) type of the following term:
 $(\lambda x y. x) (\lambda y. y)$ (5 marks)
- Give a pen-and-paper proof of your answer to (c). (13 marks)
- For the term $(\lambda x y. x) (\lambda y. y)$, write down the type of the following sub-term: $(\lambda x y. x)$ – that is, the type that the sub-term has within the larger term. (2 marks)

2 Higher-Order Unification (10 marks)

Find a unifier (substitution) for the schematic variables in the following term so that its left- and right-hand sides are $\alpha\beta\eta$ -equivalent. Justify your answer by showing that the two sides $\alpha\beta\eta$ -reduce to the same term.

$(\lambda y x. ?H x y) =_{\alpha\beta\eta} (\lambda x y. ?G (y x))$ (10 marks)

3 Propositional Logic (25 marks)

Prove each of the following statements, using only the proof methods `rule`, `erule`, `case_tac` and `assumption`; and using only the proof rules `impI`, `impE`, `conjI`, `conjE`, `disjI1`, `disjI2`, `disjE`, `notI`, `notE`, `iffI`, `iffE`, `ccontr`, `classical`, `FalseE` and `TrueI`.

(a) $B \longrightarrow B \vee A$ (3 marks)

(b) $(A = \text{True}) = A$ (5 marks)

(c) $(A = \text{False}) = (\neg A)$ (6 marks)

(d) $P \longrightarrow \neg \neg P$ (4 marks)

(e) $\neg \neg P \longrightarrow P$ (5 marks)

List the statements above that are provable only in a classical logic. (2 marks)

4 Higher Order Logic (35 marks)

Prove each of the following statements, using only the proof methods and rules from Question 3 plus you may also use the additional methods `rule_tac`, `erule_tac`, `drule` and `drule_tac`, and the additional rules `allI`, `allE`, `exI`, `exE`, `iffD1`, `iffD2`, `spec`.

(a) $(\forall x. P x) \vee (\forall x. Q x) \longrightarrow (\forall x. P x \vee Q x)$ (4 marks)

(b) $(\forall P. P) = \text{False}$ (3 marks)

(c) $(\forall x. Q x = P x) \wedge ((\exists x. P x) \longrightarrow C) \wedge (\exists x. Q x) \longrightarrow C$ (5 marks)

(d) $\forall x. \neg R x \longrightarrow R (M x) \implies \forall x. \neg R (M x) \longrightarrow R x$ (5 marks)

(e) $\llbracket \forall x. \neg R x \longrightarrow R (M x); \exists x. R x \rrbracket \implies \exists x. R x \wedge R (M (M x))$
(8 marks)

Formalise and prove the following statement using only the proof methods and rules as earlier in this question. (10 marks)

If every poor person has a rich mother, then there is a rich person with a rich grandmother.